



# Harris County Pretrial Services

**2005 Annual Report**



**Carol Oeller**  
*Director*

**Dennis Potts**  
*Assistant Director*

**Myra Smith**  
*Division Manager, Pretrial Screening*

**Hazel Lundy**  
*Division Manager, Defendant Monitoring*

**Mara Porper**  
*Information Resources Manager*

**Troyce Carter**  
**Eric Clayton**  
**Chrystal Ferguson**  
**Steve Johnson**  
**Carmen Martinez**  
**Aurora Roberts**  
**Andrea Robertson**  
**William Scruggs, Jr.**  
**Ruby Francis**  
*Supervisors*



**Mission**

*To develop and provide services that support informed, accountable pretrial release and detention processes that neither unduly restrict a defendant's liberty nor compromise the community's safety.*



# Table of Contents

<b>Part I - Annual Highlights</b>	<b>Page</b>
<i>33rd Annual NAPSA Conference in Houston</i>	<i>1</i>
<i>Study of County Pretrial Practices</i>	<i>3</i>
<i>JIMS2 Project and Pretrial Services</i>	<i>3</i>
<i>The Defendant Report</i>	<i>3</i>
<i>March of Dimes WalkAmerica</i>	<i>4</i>
<i>Video Hearings at Probable Cause</i>	<i>4</i>
<i>Pretrial Responds to Hurricanes</i>	<i>4</i>
<i>Drug Screen Activity Continues to Increase</i>	<i>5</i>
<i>Defendant Report Activity in 2005</i>	<i>6</i>
<i>Supervision Caseload Review</i>	<i>7</i>
<i>Supervision Results</i>	<i>8</i>
<b>Part II - Statistics, Calendar Year 2005</b>	
<i>The Defendant Report</i>	<i>9</i>
<i>Bail Review</i>	<i>12</i>
<i>Supervision</i>	<i>15</i>
<i>Compliance</i>	<i>19</i>
<i>Disposition of Bond Event</i>	<i>22</i>
<b>Part III - Charts and Graphs</b>	
<b>Part IV - Table of Organization</b>	

---

## Acknowledgements

*Pretrial Services is part of a larger criminal justice community that works together to promote the administration of justice in Harris County. We would like to specifically recognize the Harris County Commissioners Court, Harris County Management Services, the Pretrial Services Standing Committee, the District Criminal Courts, and the County Criminal Courts at Law as key contributors to a quality pretrial services program.*

---

## Harris County Hosts the National Association of Pretrial Services Agencies 33rd Annual Conference and Training Institute.



Many pretrial staff realized the culmination of a year's worth of planning and hard work when the National Association of Pretrial Services Agencies' 33rd annual conference commenced on October 9, 2005. Practitioners and criminal justice experts from all over the country convened in Houston for this event that the department hosted. Over 400 people were present to either attend, exhibit, or teach at the four-day training institute, whose theme was "Exploring Pretrial's Universe: Perfecting the Mission."

The conference planners appreciate the invaluable assistance of other Harris County departments including Commissioners Court, the County and District Criminal Courts, and the Sheriff's Office for making the conference a success.

Over 30 training events were offered, from workshops to plenary sessions. Among the conference highlights was the conference keynote speaker, Ernest Friesen. Professor Friesen has been teaching and consulting on criminal justice issues for over 45 years. He inspired the attendees with his discussion of their contribution to the criminal justice process. Before the keynote address the Honorable Susan Brown and the Honorable Mark Atkinson, welcomed the conference attendees to Houston.

*Harris County and the City of Houston acknowledged the conference with resolutions recognizing the contributions of pretrial programs and the professionals who work in the field.*

Several leaders and professionals from Harris County and Texas donated their time to teach at the conference. Local businessman and philanthropist James 'Mattress Mac' McIngvale opened the first plenary session with an impassioned talk about the frailty of human dignity. The department is especially indebted to Commissioner Sylvia Garcia, State Senator John

Whitmire, TDCJ Research and Evaluation Unit Assistant Director Marty Martin, HPD Officer Frank Webb, U.S. Magistrate Stephen Wm. Smith and mental health expert Susan Stone for their participation. The department would also like

to thank Edward F. Gallagher, III, Kesha Handy, and Ruben Perez from the U.S. Attorney's Office, Southern District of Texas for their workshop. Many national figures also shared their expertise at the conference, providing an exceptional learning environment.

The department arranged staff schedules in a manner that afforded most employees the ability to attend, even if for just one day. The opportunity to learn from and network with their colleagues about pretrial practices and issues provided them with a greater understanding of and perspective on their role. All who attended were enthusiastic about the experience and came away with an appreciation that being a pretrial practitioner is a profession, not just a job. ■

# NAPSA Conference Scrapbook



*Judge Mark Atkinson and Judge Susan Brown welcome the conference attendees.*



*Jim 'Matress Mac' McIngvale is greeted by Hazel Lundy, Division Manager with Pretrial Services, and Michelle Brown, President of NAPSA.*



*Carol Oeller accepts an award on behalf of Harris County Pretrial Services from NAPSA president Michelle Brown. The plaque recognizes the contribution of the department in sponsoring the conference.*



*Keynote speaker Ernest Friesen with Barry Mahoney from JMI and Phyllis Modley of NIC.*



*Several former staff attended. Maria Baez, now with U.S. Probation and Pretrial, visits with Connie Koehler.*



*Pretrial Services staff from every shift and division attended the conference, which provided an invaluable learning opportunity.*



*Janie Medina was one of many staff who volunteered at the conference.*



*Senior Judge Bruce Beaudin from Washington, D.C. (above) and Barry Mahony of JMI (right) present at workshops.*



## Study Provides Analysis of County Pretrial Practices

In June 2005 Dr. Barry Mahoney, President Emeritus of the Justice Management Institute, delivered his report evaluating pretrial release and detention practices in Harris County. The report offers an evaluation of the strengths and weaknesses of the current process and makes recommendations to improve services.

Pretrial Services staff, working with judges, court administrators, county officials, and other departments began working together to address the recommendations. Progress has been made in several areas:

- 1) Developing a new case management application (see box below),
- 2) Changing drug screening procedures to accept presumptive positive results in certain situations for faster results, and
- 3) Identifying low-risk defendants who were denied release at Probable Cause Court and re-presenting the defendant reports to the assigned court judge. ■

### JIMS2 Project Will Help Implement Report Recommendations

In 2005, the JIMS2 project team continued to design and build new case management systems for Pretrial Services, the Community Supervision and Corrections Department (CSCD), and the Juvenile Probation Department. Many of the automated information system problems identified by Dr. Mahoney should be resolved when the case management application for Pretrial Services is ready for use sometime in 2007.

JIMS2 project management has also agreed to accelerate the re-engineering of the defendant report in response to the study's findings. In 2005, the department began work with the JIMS2 team on this assignment and plan to complete the design and development in 2006.

## What Is the Defendant Report

### **The Defendant Report contains information on:**

*Criminal History  
Open Warrants  
Pending Cases  
Address History and Living Situation  
Employment History  
Financial Situation  
Dependents  
Family, Friends, and Contacts  
Medical Special Needs*

### **The Defendant Report is available to:**

*Judges in the District and County Criminal Courts  
Magistrates at the Probable Cause Court  
District Attorney's Office  
Defense Counsel  
Law Enforcement Personnel  
Probation Officers with the Community Supervision and Corrections Department*

### **The Defendant Report offers decision support for:**

*Bail Risk Assessment  
Mental Health Referral  
Indigency Determination*

Throughout the pretrial stage of a case, Pretrial Services provides services that facilitate informed, accountable release and detention practices. Pretrial officers have access to defendants within hours of arrest to interview the person, the first step in preparing the defendant report.

A pretrial officer draws upon multiple information sources and compiles the data about the defendant into the defendant report. When appropriate, pretrial officers will prepare a mental health referral report based on the interview experience with a defendant. The referral report is forwarded to Mental Health and Mental Retardation Association staff - in the jail or at Project New Start - and a copy is delivered to the court.

The defendant report is used for bail review at the Probable Cause Hearing and a hard copy is delivered to the assigned court for defendants on the day's docket. It is also available to authorized persons online in JIMS at any time. Throughout the pretrial stage of a case the defendant report provides information that facilitates informed decision-making. ■

---

## March of Dimes WalkAmerica

Pretrial Services' efforts to raise funds for the March of Dimes was a huge success. The department exceeded its goal, collecting over \$2,500. Pretrial Services was honored as having one of the best participant-to-employee ratios in Harris County. The department's innovative fund-raising approach was praised for its effectiveness by the March of Dimes organization.



## Hurricanes Make an Impact

The devastating effect of Hurricane Katrina on our neighbors to the east brought out the best in our employees. Many volunteered on their off time, assisting at the Astrodome, George R. Brown Convention Center, and with other community relief efforts.

Hurricane Rita hit closer to home. While the county and city closed down and thousands of people evacuated, Pretrial employees volunteered to stay at work so that the department's 24-hour operations would not be interrupted. Staff who worked through the hurricane brought supplies and prepared to stay for as long as necessary. Fortunately Houston was largely spared the effects of the storm, but the lessons learned from Hurricane Rita will help us to improve our disaster preparedness plans. ■

*Employees along with their family and friends gather at the Harris County tent after the walk.*

---

## Probable Cause Court Begins Video Hearings

The Probable Cause Court moved from the Wilson Building at 49 San Jacinto to the Criminal Justice Center (CJC) in February 2005. The courtroom's facilities had deteriorated and could no longer adequately accommodate all the county departments participating in the hearing. The Sheriff's Office did not have the staff necessary to transport defendants to another location for a hearing. Rather than move prisoners from one facility to another, the Information Technology Center implemented a remedy based on remote video hearings.

Pretrial Services staff still work at the Wilson Building, interviewing defendants and preparing reports. A Pretrial employee walks the reports to the CJC for docket calls so that the magistrates have the pretrial information

needed for bail review. Pretrial staff who remain at the Wilson Building with the defendants ascertain court outcomes via the video and assist with the process at both locations. ■



## Drug Screen Activity Continues to Increase

Screening for drug use remains the condition most often imposed by the judiciary as a condition of pretrial release. Standard testing procedure requires the defendant to provide a urine sample that is sent to the Medical Examiner for analysis. The department also offers point-of-collection tests with immediate, presumptive results when a judge suspects drug use during a court appearance.


*In five years, the number of drug tests performed has more than doubled.*

There were 6,686 defendants who were screened for drug or alcohol use in 2005. Of those, 5,538 people, or 83%, were on some form of financial bond while 365 defendants, or 5%, were granted a personal bond. The remaining 12% ordered screened included those with modified sentences, summons, or out of county cases.

In the fall of 2005 Pretrial Services, with judicial support, made arrangements with the Medical Examiner's office to accept presumptive positive test results on certain tests and drugs. This has resulted in test results becoming available more quickly despite the increase in the number of tests.



Laboratory analysis tests rose over 20% from the previous year to 32,996. Slightly more than 19% of the specimens tested were reported positive for a tested substance in 2005.

The number of point-of-collection tests




(POCT) conducted climbed 134% to 1,597. Of those, 38.8% screened positive for drug use. The onsite drug screen provides immediate results for five categories of substances. Since the test uses a urine sample, the results can be confirmed by the Medical Examiner if additional testing is warranted. The department also offers the use of a breath analysis device to detect alcohol consumption by assessing breath / alcohol concentration.

In addition, the 79th Legislature made changes to Article 38.01, CCP. The department has been working with the judiciary to put into practice a change in law that requires physical evidence admitted in a criminal action to be analyzed by a laboratory accredited by DPS.



## Defendant Report Activity in 2005




Comparison of arrests for a felony or class A or B misdemeanor offense and defendant reports completed over the last five years. The number of interviews conducted has kept pace with the rise in arrests, increasing over 26% in five years.

viewed after release in order to initiate court-ordered supervision. Abbreviated versions of the defendant report were prepared for 149 defendants who requested an appointed attorney and for 201 defendants ordered to submit to a point-of-collection drug test who did not have a current defendant report on file. In addition, Pretrial Services staff made 7,492 referrals to MHMRA for defendants suspected of having a mental health issue. ■

Over 90,000 arrests occurred in Harris County for class A or B misdemeanor or felony offenses in 2005. Of those, 63% were for a misdemeanor offense and 37% for a felony offense.

Pretrial Services compiled reports on 88% of the arrestees, for a total of 79,459 reports. Staff prepared 49,079 reports on defendants arrested for a misdemeanor offense, compiling information on 86% of that population. For those defendants arrested for a felony, the percentage was 90%, or 30,380 reports completed.

Other defendants interviewed included 52 defendants who had open warrants but were not in custody. Another 1,253 defendants posted a financial bond and were inter-




The number of mental health referrals for defendants in the Harris County jail has increased each year as staff become better at recognizing the signs of mental illness.

## Defendants Placed on Supervision

Pretrial Services added 9,309 new defendants to its caseload in 2005, a 10.5% increase over the number of defendants added last year.


Of those, 57.9% or 5,387 defendants posted some form of financial bond. Another 3,878 defendants, 41.7% of the total, were released from jail on a personal bond. The remaining 44 defendants were supervised by order of the court without bail as a factor (i.e., summons, court personal bonds, and modified sentence supervision orders).



\* Includes 44 defendants ordered supervised where bail was not a factor.

# Supervision Caseload Review

The number of defendants ordered supervised continues to increase. Most are required to comply with enhanced supervision conditions.



## Standard Release Conditions

- In-person check-ins on each court date*
- Check-ins by phone or in person at least every two weeks*
- Calls the day before court to confirm court date*
- Notification of all address, telephone number or employment changes*
- Travel restrictions out of the Harris County area*
- Complaining witness contact prohibition*

## Enhanced Conditions

- Refrain from illegal/illicit drug possession or use*
- Submit to mandatory scheduled or random drug screens*
- Install and use ignition interlock device*
- Adhere to a curfew with or without electronic monitoring*
- Abide by residence requirements*
- Abide by employment conditions*
- Abide by educational requirements*
- Participate in substance and alcohol abuse treatment*
- Participate in mental impairment services*

All defendants supervised by Pretrial Services must comply with a set of standard conditions. The court may also order defendants to comply with other conditions. The judiciary placed 3,363 defendants (36%) under supervision with standard supervision conditions. The remaining 5,946 defendants (64%) were required to comply with one or more of the enhanced conditions listed to the left. Defendants on financial bond comprised over 90% of the defendants with enhanced supervision conditions.

Of those with enhanced conditions, 87% had drug screening as a condition. The courts also ordered over 6% of these defendants to wear electronic monitoring devices. The device allows Pretrial Services to determine whether a defendant is complying with a court-ordered curfew.

Another 27% of the supervised defendants with enhanced conditions were required to have an ignition interlock device installed on the vehicle that they drive. This technology prevents a person from starting the vehicle without providing a deep-lung breath sample that is tested for alcohol. If alcohol is detected in the sample, the vehicle will not start.

A count of the number of defendants on supervision on the last day of each month gives a snapshot of the size of the supervision caseload throughout the year. In 2005, the caseload averaged 1,967 active defendants on supervision. Of those, approximately 74% had enhanced supervision requirements. The 2005 caseload size is a 17% growth over 2004's average of 1,680 active defendants. ■

## Most Defendants Comply with Supervision Orders, Don't Return to Jail


In 2005, 9,247 defendants exited the Pretrial Services supervision program. They included 3,737 defendants on personal bond, 5,474 defendants on financial bond, and 36 people who were not on bond or who had non-Harris County cases.

A review of the 9,211 defendants with a personal or financial bond shows that 81%, or 7,431 defendants, completed the supervision period and left the program because their criminal case was over. Looking at the 7,431 defendants by offense level, there were 5,062 defendants with a misdemeanor case and 2,369 with a felony case. Case dispositions for defendants with misdemeanor charges included 52% with no additional jail time, 26% jailed, and 22% dismissed. Of defendants charged with a felony, 52% received no additional jail time, 26% were ordered to jail or prison, and 23% saw their cases dismissed or no-billed. The most common sentence imposed included some form of probation, with 36% of all misdemeanor and 49% of all felony cases resulting in post-trial supervision.


The remaining 19% of defendants whose supervision period ended before the disposition of their criminal cases did not comply with other supervision conditions or failed to appear in court when scheduled. Supervised defendants who missed a court appearance which resulted in bond revocation or forfeiture account for 7.2% of the total whose supervision period ended in 2005. Noncompliance with other conditions of supervision (e.g., refrain from substance abuse and abide by curfew restrictions) accounted for 10.2% of those who left the program. The remaining 1.9% left the program for reasons other than noncompliance. These include appeal judgements or situations where the court orders the supervision terminated.

Of the 36 persons with a non-bond or non-Harris County case, 83% successfully completed the supervision period. ■

### How All Bonded Defendants Exited the Supervision Program by Bond Type.



Personal Bond - 3,737 defendants



Financial Bond - 5,474 defendants

## Section I The Defendant Report

### A. Incarcerated Defendant Population

#### 1. Number of defendants arrested in calendar year 2005

(excludes defendants with only Class C misdemeanor, MRP, or MAJ):

Filing Agency	Misdemeanor	%	Felony	%	Both	%	TOTAL	%
HPD/Park	22,800	43.3%	15,390	57.8%	438	39.8%	38,628	48.1%
Metro/TABC/Airport	464	0.9%	223	0.8%	5	0.5%	692	0.9%
HCSO	12,472	23.7%	5,710	21.5%	245	22.3%	18,427	22.9%
Pasadena	2,732	5.2%	1,073	4.0%	85	7.7%	3,890	4.8%
Baytown	996	1.9%	398	1.5%	17	1.5%	1,411	1.8%
Humble	889	1.7%	284	1.1%	16	1.5%	1,189	1.5%
DPS	1,394	2.6%	335	1.3%	37	3.4%	1,766	2.2%
Constables	4,861	9.2%	1,426	5.4%	106	9.6%	6,393	8.0%
Other	6,073	11.5%	1,765	6.6%	151	13.7%	7,989	9.9%
Subtotal	52,681	100%	26,604	100%	1,100	100%	80,385	100%
Arrested on Warrant	4,221	7.4%	5,923	18.2%	172	13.5%	10,316	11.4%
TOTAL	56,902		32,527		1,272		90,701	

#### 2. Number and location of interviews conducted by Pretrial Services.

Location	Misdemeanor	%	Felony	%	Both a.	%	TOTAL	%
HPD Jails	21,198	43.2%	14,435	51.1%	901	42.6%	36,534	46.0%
HCJ/PCH	27,831	56.7%	13,780	48.8%	1,213	57.3%	42,824	53.9%
Other	50	0.1%	49	0.2%	2	0.1%	101	0.1%
TOTAL	49,079	100%	28,264	100%	2,116	100%	79,459	100%
Percent of arrests							87.6%	

a. These numbers may be larger than arrests due to charges added after the defendant was booked.

### B. Non-incarcerated Defendant Population

#### 1. Number of defendants interviewed with an open warrant.

Misdemeanor	Felony	Both	TOTAL
37	15	0	52

#### 2. Number of defendants interviewed after release on a financial bond.

Misdemeanor	Felony	Both	TOTAL
497	709	47	1,253

#### 3. Number of defendants processed after release on a financial bond.

Misdemeanor	Felony	Both	TOTAL
1,640	2,915	310	4,865

### C. Other Interviews Conducted

Interview Reason	Misdemeanor		Felony		Both		TOTAL
	Jail	Non-Jail	Jail	Non-Jail	Jail	Non-Jail	
Indigency Determination b.	141	0	9	0	1	0	151
Drug Test b.	5	12	3	179	0	2	201
Other c.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	146	12	12	179	1	2	352

b. Abbreviated versions of the interview are used for these purposes.

c. Includes interviews conducted for performance bonds, modified sentence, witness bonds, and supervision on civil cases.

**D. Characteristics of Interviewed Defendants**

1. Gender:

	Misdemeanor	%	Felony	%	TOTAL	%
Male	40,225	80.8%	25,202	80.4%	65,427	80.7%
Female	9,546	19.2%	6,143	19.6%	15,689	19.3%
TOTAL	49,771	100%	31,345	100%	81,116	100%

2. Race/Ethnicity:

	Misdemeanor	%	Felony	%	TOTAL	%
White/Hispanic	18,073	36.3%	8,538	27.2%	26,611	32.8%
White/Non Hispanic	13,300	26.7%	7,633	24.4%	20,933	25.8%
White/Unknown	17	0.0%	6	0.0%	23	0.0%
Black/Hispanic	142	0.3%	112	0.4%	254	0.3%
Black/Non Hispanic	17,460	35.1%	14,682	46.8%	32,142	39.6%
Black/Unknown	0	0.0%	2	0.0%	2	0.0%
Asian/Hispanic	5	0.0%	5	0.0%	10	0.0%
Asian/Non Hispanic	581	1.2%	261	0.8%	842	1.0%
Asian/Unknown	5	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	0.0%
Other/Hispanic	37	0.1%	21	0.1%	58	0.1%
Other/Non Hispanic	111	0.2%	53	0.2%	164	0.2%
Other/Unknown	40	0.1%	32	0.1%	72	0.1%
TOTAL	49,771	100%	31,345	100%	81,116	100%

3. Age:

	Misdemeanor	%	Felony	%	TOTAL	%
< 17	19	0.0%	49	0.2%	68	0.1%
17-20	10,245	20.6%	5,367	17.1%	15,612	19.2%
21-24	10,227	20.5%	5,352	17.1%	15,579	19.2%
25-29	9,282	18.6%	5,321	17.0%	14,603	18.0%
30-35	7,150	14.4%	4,635	14.8%	11,785	14.5%
> 35	12,479	25.1%	10,197	32.5%	22,676	28.0%
Unknown	369	0.7%	424	1.4%	793	1.0%
TOTAL	49,771	100%	31,345	100%	81,116	100%
≤ 21	12,942	26.0%	6,788	21.7%	19,730	24.3%

4. Education

	Misdemeanor	%	Felony	%	TOTAL	%
No education	275	0.6%	129	0.4%	404	0.5%
1st-9th grade	9,005	18.1%	5,429	17.3%	14,434	17.8%
10th-12th grade	10,589	21.3%	6,877	21.9%	17,466	21.5%
H.S.Grad/GED	20,470	41.1%	14,019	44.7%	34,489	42.5%
College	8,820	17.7%	4,350	13.9%	13,170	16.2%
Unknown	612	1.2%	541	1.7%	1,153	1.4%
TOTAL	49,771	100%	31,345	100%	81,116	100%

5. Language

	Misdemeanor	%	Felony	%	TOTAL	%
English	42,611	85.6%	28,154	89.8%	70,765	87.2%
Spanish	6,693	13.4%	2,703	8.6%	9,396	11.6%
Vietnamese	40	0.1%	21	0.1%	61	0.1%
Hearing Impaired	16	0.0%	10	0.0%	26	0.0%
Other/Unknown	411	0.8%	457	1.5%	868	1.1%
TOTAL	49,771	100%	31,345	100%	81,116	100%

## 6. Place of Birth

Does not convey citizenship.

Location	Misd	%	Felony	%	TOTAL	%
United States	38,294	76.9%	26,460	84.4%	64,754	79.8%
Mexico	6,585	13.2%	2,668	8.5%	9,253	11.4%
Central America	2,524	5.1%	974	3.1%	3,498	4.3%
South America	280	0.6%	112	0.4%	392	0.5%
Other	1,741	3.5%	684	2.2%	2,425	3.0%
Unknown	347	0.7%	447	1.4%	794	1.0%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>49,771</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>31,345</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>81,116</b>	<b>100%</b>

## 7. Charge Categories

If a defendant has a felony and a misdemeanor offense, they are counted in the felony charge category; if a defendant has two or more different felony or two or more different misdemeanor charges, they are counted only once and appear in the first applicable category.

Misdemeanor	Number	%	Felony	Number	%
Assault	5,068	10.2%	Murder/Mansl/Homicide	187	0.6%
DWI	8,915	17.9%	Assault/Att or Sol Murder/Injury Offense	3,310	10.6%
Theft/Burglary Offense	6,929	13.9%	Sexual Assault Adult	117	0.4%
Trespass	3,121	6.3%	Sex Offense Child (Sex Aslt, Indec, Incest)	775	2.5%
Evade Arrest or Detention/Fleeing	1,491	3.0%	Robbery	1,362	4.3%
Resist Arrest	537	1.1%	Other Personal Offense	641	2.0%
Weapon Offense	1,459	2.9%	Burglary Building/Habitation/Other	1,743	5.6%
Drug Offense	8,006	16.1%	Theft Offenses	2,601	8.3%
Criminal Mischief	897	1.8%	UUMV	1,182	3.8%
Sex Offense (e.g., Prost, Pub Lewd, Indec Exp)	1,434	2.9%	Other Property Offense	2,029	6.5%
DWLS/Other Traffic	8,595	17.3%	Drug Sale/Manufacture	2,870	9.2%
Failure to ID to PO	1,642	3.3%	Drug Possession	10,909	34.8%
Other	1,677	3.4%	DWI	891	2.8%
			Other	2,728	8.7%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>49,771</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>31,345</b>	<b>100%</b>

## 8. Prior Convictions <sup>a</sup>

Total Equals	Misd	%	Felony	%	TOTAL	%
0	20,747	41.8%	8,200	26.3%	28,947	35.8%
1	9,062	18.3%	4,504	14.5%	13,566	16.8%
2	5,563	11.2%	3,483	11.2%	9,046	11.2%
3	3,654	7.4%	2,761	8.9%	6,415	7.9%
>3	10,587	21.3%	12,203	39.2%	22,790	28.2%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>49,613</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>31,151</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>80,764</b>	<b>100%</b>

## 9. Risk Classification Categories <sup>a</sup>

Point Score	Misd	%	Felony	%	TOTAL	%
4	7,430	15.0%	2,389	7.7%	9,819	12.2%
3	11,748	23.7%	5,001	16.1%	16,749	20.7%
2	12,670	25.5%	6,675	21.4%	19,345	24.0%
1	8,645	17.4%	6,089	19.5%	14,734	18.2%
0	4,624	9.3%	4,735	15.2%	9,359	11.6%
-1	2,527	5.1%	3,449	11.1%	5,976	7.4%
-2	1,088	2.2%	1,725	5.5%	2,813	3.5%
-3	275	0.6%	436	1.4%	711	0.9%
-4	23	0.0%	36	0.1%	59	0.1%
-5	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Refusal	583	1.2%	616	2.0%	1,199	1.5%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>49,613</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>31,151</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>80,764</b>	<b>100%</b>

a. Categories 8 and 9 do not include 158 misdemeanor and 194 felony abbreviated interviews. The abbreviated interview does not capture this information.

## Section II Bail Review

### A. Activity on Defendant Reports in Calendar Year 2005

Complete interviews conducted in the reporting year. Does not include abbreviated interviews.

#### 1. Report Classification

The Defendant	Misdemeanor		Felony		TOTAL	%
	Jail	NA	Jail	NA		
Refused an interview	529	0	596	0	1,125	1.4%
Incomplete interview (Bond posted or health issue)	7	0	4	0	11	0.0%
Has high risk score	1,235	0	1,957	2	3,194	4.0%
Has no bond set	69	0	1,533	2	1,604	2.0%
On probation, parole, or bond	4,326	168	3,166	148	7,808	9.7%
Has an unverifiable report	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
Made surety or cash bond before court review	7,635	187	1,551	318	9,691	12.0%
Had case disposed	8	1	2	1	12	0.0%
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>	<b>13,809</b>	<b>356</b>	<b>8,809</b>	<b>471</b>	<b>23,445</b>	<b>29.0%</b>
All other reports	35,270	178	21,571	300	57,319	71.0%
<b>TOTAL REPORTS</b>	<b>49,079</b>	<b>534</b>	<b>30,380</b>	<b>771</b>	<b>80,764</b>	<b>100%</b>

#### 2. Probable Cause Court Review (reports compiled and reviewed in the the same month during the reporting year)

	Misdemeanor		Felony		TOTAL	%
	Jail	NA	Jail	NA		
<b>Total Reports Reviewed</b>					<b>68,693</b>	
<b>Specific Case Outcome:</b>						
No probable cause found	373	0	269	0	642	0.8%
PB granted	639	1	2	0	642	0.8%
PB granted, bond lowered	95	0	0	0	95	0.1%
PB granted, bond raised	18	0	1	0	19	0.0%
PB granted, pending verification	3,819	2	13	0	3,834	4.8%
PB granted with additional conditions	165	0	0	0	165	0.2%
Bond set, personal bond granted	1	0	0	0	1	0.0%
Bond set, personal bond denied	620	0	32	0	652	0.8%
Bond set, no action on PB	24	0	5	0	29	0.0%
Bond set, restricted	4	0	7	0	11	0.0%
PB denied	30,266	7	1,732	0	32,005	40.3%
PB denied, bond lowered	2,315	1	179	0	2,495	3.1%
PB denied, bond raised	7,230	1	337	1	7,569	9.5%
Supervision on financial bond	131	0	16	0	147	0.2%
Reviewed, no action on personal bd	209	0	8	0	217	0.3%
Reviewed, no action PB, bond lowered	15	0	3	0	18	0.0%
Reviewed, no action PB, bond raised	19	0	293	0	312	0.4%
Restricted	421	0	25,596	11	26,028	32.8%
Restricted, bond lowered	24	0	815	1	840	1.1%
Restricted, bond raised	65	0	3,592	1	3,658	4.6%
<b>TOTAL CASES REVIEWED *</b>	<b>46,453</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>32,900</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>79,379</b>	<b>100%</b>

\*A defendant with both a misdemeanor and felony case will have both cases counted.

**B. First Review Assigned Court** (includes initial reviews during the reporting year regardless of when the report was created)

	Misdemeanor		Felony		TOTAL	%
	Jail	NA	Jail	NA		
<b>Total Reports Reviewed</b>					9,318	
<b>Specific Case Outcome</b>						
PB granted	73	23	36	15	147	1.4%
PB granted, bond lowered	2	0	0	0	2	0.0%
PB granted, bond raised	0	1	0	0	1	0.0%
PB granted with additional conditions	70	26	47	16	159	1.5%
Bond set, personal bond granted	2	1	1	0	4	0.0%
Bond set, personal bond denied	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
Bond set, no action on PB	0	0	0	1	1	0.0%
PB denied	409	75	3,197	12	3,693	35.4%
PB denied, bond lowered	1	0	7	0	8	0.1%
PB denied, bond raised	4	0	192	0	196	1.9%
Supervision on financial bond	138	1,977	935	3,020	6,070	58.1%
Supervision, other	12	7	3	13	35	0.3%
Reviewed, no action on personal bd	2	0	5	0	7	0.1%
Reviewed, no action PB, bond lowered	0	0	2	0	2	0.0%
Reviewed, no action PB, bond raised	0	0	1	0	1	0.0%
Pending	93	15	4	3	115	1.1%
<b>TOTAL CASES REVIEWED *</b>	<b>806</b>	<b>2,125</b>	<b>4,430</b>	<b>3,080</b>	<b>10,441</b>	<b>100%</b>

\*A defendant with both a misdemeanor and felony case will have both cases counted.

**C. Re-review Assigned Court** (includes re-reviews during the reporting year regardless of when the report was created)

	Misdemeanor		Felony		TOTAL	%
	Jail	NA	Jail	NA		
<b>Total Reports Reviewed</b>					280	
<b>Specific Case Outcome</b>						
PB granted	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
PB granted, bond lowered	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
PB granted, bond raised	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
PB granted with additional conditions	2	1	3	3	9	2.0%
Bond set, personal bond granted	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
Bond set, personal bond denied	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
Bond set, no action on PB	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
PB denied	19	0	80	7	106	24.0%
PB denied, bond lowered	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
PB denied, bond raised	2	0	4	0	6	1.4%
Supervision on financial bond	6	72	60	172	310	70.1%
Supervision, other	0	0	2	7	9	2.0%
Reviewed, no action on personal bd	0	0	2	0	2	0.5%
Reviewed, no action PB, bond lowered	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
Reviewed, no action PB, bond raised	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
Pending	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
<b>TOTAL CASES REVIEWED *</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>151</b>	<b>189</b>	<b>442</b>	<b>100%</b>

\*A defendant with both a misdemeanor and felony case will have both cases counted.

## D. Outcome Summary

1. Personal Bond Activity:	Misdemeanor		Felony		Both		TOTAL
	Jail	NA	Jail	NA	Jail	NA	
Defendants approved	4,487	45	90	24	1	0	4,647
Defendants with bond filed	3,789	45	90	22	1	0	3,947
Defendants released	3,724	44	87	22	1	0	3,878

2. Other Supervision Orders	Misd	Felony	Both	Total
Court-ordered supervision - financial bond	2,092	3,267	12	5,371
Court-ordered supervision - split bond <sup>a</sup>	9	7	0	16
Court-ordered supervision - other <sup>b</sup>	19	25	0	44
Court-ordered supervision - no bond posted	95	563	0	658
Total	2,215	3,862	12	6,089

a. A split bond occurs when the bond amount is met using both a personal bond and a financial bond.

b. Includes summons, personal bonds from the court, fugitive, and post adjudication cases.

3. Activity on Defendants Ordered Supervised but not Released	Misdemeanor			Felony			TOTAL
	PB	FB	NBP	PB	FB	NBP	
Bond surrender		0			0		0
Bond revocation	16	0		0	0		16
Sentenced before release	49	0	73	2	0	216	340
Posted financial bond	697			0			697
Pending as of February 28, 2006	1	0	22	3	0	347	372
TOTAL <sup>c</sup>	763	0	95	5	0	563	1,425

Personal Bond = PB, Financial Bond = FB, No Bond Posted = NBP

c. Does not include 1 defendant who was originally approved by the court then subsequently denied.

## Section III Supervision

### A. Defendants Released to Pretrial Supervision

Type of Release	Misd	Felony	Total
PB with standard conditions	3,281	41	3,322
PB with additional conditions	487	69	556
Total Released on Personal Bond	3,768	110	3,878
FB with standard conditions	16	16	32
FB with additional conditions	2,085	3,270	5,355
Total Released on Financial Bond	2,101	3,286	5,387
Other with standard conditions	7	2	9
Other with additional conditions	12	23	35
Total Other	19	25	44
Total ordered to pretrial supervision	5,888	3,421	9,309

Art. 17.42, Sec 5, CCP	# of Accused
Release on personal bond with priors for the same offense or any felony within 6 years.	198

Includes 22 defendants released as a result of Fair Defense Act provisions.

### B. Location of Incarcerated Defendants Released on Personal Bond

Location	Misd	%	Felony	%	TOTAL	%
Houston Police Department Jails	564	15.1%	13	14.9%	577	15.1%
Harris County Jail	3,092	83.0%	70	80.5%	3,162	83.0%
Other	68	1.8%	4	4.6%	72	1.9%
TOTAL	3,724	100%	87	100%	3,811	100%

### C. Supervised Cases by Charge Category

#### Misdemeanor (Chart A)

Charge Category	Personal Bd	Percent	Financial Bd	Percent
Assault	122	2.9%	172	7.4%
DWI	763	17.8%	1,106	47.7%
Theft/Burglary Offense	694	16.2%	70	3.0%
Trespass	79	1.8%	18	0.8%
Evade Arrest or Detention/Fleeing	42	1.0%	17	0.7%
Resist Arrest	12	0.3%	14	0.6%
Weapon Offense	85	2.0%	89	3.8%
Drug Offense	819	19.1%	556	24.0%
Criminal Mischief	37	0.9%	19	0.8%
Sex Offense (Prost, Pub Lewd, Indec Exp)	110	2.6%	36	1.6%
DWLS/Other Traffic	814	19.0%	70	3.0%
Failure to ID to PO	104	2.4%	16	0.7%
MRP/MAJ	54	1.3%	62	2.7%
Other	545	12.7%	75	3.2%
<b>TOTAL CHARGES</b>	<b>4,280</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>2,320</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

#### Felony (Chart B)

Charge Category	Personal Bd	Percent	Financial Bd	Percent
Murder/Mansl/Homicide	3	2.4%	88	2.3%
Assault/Att or Sol Murder/Injury Offense	6	4.8%	237	6.1%
Sexual Assault Adult	0	0.0%	23	0.6%
Sex Offense Child (Sex Aslt, Indec, Incest)	6	4.8%	95	2.4%
Robbery	6	4.8%	96	2.5%
Other Personal Offense	4	3.2%	80	2.0%
Burglary Building/Habitation/Other	4	3.2%	97	2.5%
Theft Offenses	10	8.0%	90	2.3%
UUMV	5	4.0%	33	0.8%
Other Property Offense	3	2.4%	24	0.6%
Drug Sale / Manufacture	2	1.6%	335	8.6%
Drug Possession	15	12.0%	1,619	41.4%
DWI	3	2.4%	407	10.4%
MRP/MAJ	31	24.8%	59	1.5%
Other	27	21.6%	626	16.0%
<b>TOTAL CHARGES</b>	<b>125</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>3,909</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

**D. Average Number of Defendants Supervised by Pretrial Services per Month  
In Calendar Year 2005 \***

1. Case Type	Personal Bond	Financial Bond	Courtesy	Other	TOTAL	a. Percent
Felony	28	971	1	1	1,001	50.9%
Misdemeanor	561	388	1	4	954	48.5%
Both Felony & Misdemeanor	1	11	0	0	12	0.6%
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>590</b>	<b>1,370</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1,967</b>	<b>100%</b>

Note: *Courtesy* includes pretrial nonfinancial bond cases originating outside of Harris County.

*Other* includes summonses, personal bonds from the court, fugitive, and postadjudication cases.

a. Does not include defendants who were ordered supervised but remained in custody at the end of each month.

2. Number of Defendants Supervised	Personal Bond		Financial Bond	
	Defendants	Percent	Defendants	Percent
Defendants required to comply with standard conditions	512	86.8%	7	0.5%
Defendants required to comply with additional conditions	78	13.2%	1,363	99.5%

**3. Additional Supervision Conditions**

(Defendants may have multiple conditions and, therefore, may be counted more than once)

Personal Bond	Misdemeanor		Felony		Total	b. Percent
	Defendants	Percent	Defendants	Percent		
Drug screening	41	7.3%	13	46.4%	54	9.2%
Electronic monitoring	2	0.4%	3	10.7%	5	0.8%
Weekly in-person check-in	7	1.2%	7	25.0%	14	2.4%
Curfew	0	0.0%	1	3.6%	1	0.2%
Ignition interlock	8	1.5%	1	3.6%	9	1.6%
Substance abuse evaluation	13	2.3%	2	7.1%	15	2.5%
Other conditions	42	7.4%	17	60.7%	59	10.0%

b. Percentage of all Personal Bond defendants with this condition.

Financial Bond	Misdemeanor		Felony		Total	c. Percent
	Defendants	Percent	Defendants	Percent		
Drug screening	296	76.4%	890	91.6%	1,186	86.6%
Electronic monitoring	24	6.1%	90	9.3%	114	8.3%
Weekly in-person check-in	47	12.1%	204	21.0%	251	18.3%
Curfew	2	0.5%	14	1.4%	15	1.1%
Ignition interlock	222	57.3%	176	18.1%	398	29.1%
Substance abuse evaluation	145	37.4%	82	8.4%	227	16.6%
Other conditions	375	96.6%	892	91.9%	1,267	92.5%

c. Percentage of all Financial Bond defendants with this condition.

All Other	Misdemeanor		Felony		Total	d. Percent
	Defendants	Percent	Defendants	Percent		
Drug screening	2	30.0%	2	87.5%	3	46.4%
Electronic monitoring	1	26.7%	1	29.2%	2	27.4%
Weekly in-person check-in	1	28.3%	1	29.2%	2	28.6%
Curfew	0	0.0%	0	4.2%	0	1.2%
Ignition interlock	1	28.3%	0	8.3%	2	22.6%
Substance abuse evaluation	1	26.7%	0	20.8%	2	25.0%
Other conditions	3	66.7%	2	100.0%	5	76.2%

d. Percentage of all Other defendants with this condition.

\* Averaged using the number of defendants supervised on the last day of each month.

## E. Community Resource Referrals

### 1. Screening Activity

Defendants may request or courts may order services in multiple areas so the number of requests and referrals will be greater than the number of defendants.

Total Defendants Screened	7,637		Number of Service Areas	Number of Referrals
Screening Results a.		%		
No Assistance Needs	5,399	70.7%		
Assistance Needed	2,190	28.7%	5,563	3,650
Court Ordered	1,217	15.9%	1,856	1,856

a. A defendant may be counted in more than one category.

### 2. Service area(s) where defendants were ordered by the court to participate in a program.

Court Ordered Service Area			% of Total
Substance Abuse Evaluation			1,007 95.1%
Evaluation Outcome		% of Evals	
Substance Abuse Counseling	316	31.4%	
Substance Abuse Education	373	37.0%	
Substance Abuse Support Group	108	10.7%	
No Referral Recommended	210	20.9%	
Counseling			8 0.8%
Anger Management Counseling			0 0.0%
Domestic Violence Counseling			2 0.2%
Sex Offender Counseling			1 0.1%
Employment			14 1.3%
Education			17 1.6%
Mental Health Treatment			10 0.9%
TOTAL			1,059 100%

### 3. Service area(s) where defendants requested assistance and where referrals were provided:

Defendant Requested Service Area	%	Referrals	%
Utilities	395 7.1%	247 6.8%	
Rent	130 2.3%	64 1.8%	
Emergency Housing	74 1.3%	52 1.4%	
Food	351 6.3%	225 6.2%	
Transportation	399 7.2%	253 6.9%	
Dental Care	686 12.3%	435 11.9%	
Medical Care/Medication	625 11.2%	417 11.4%	
Prenatal Care	35 0.6%	25 0.7%	
Disability	167 3.0%	100 2.7%	
Counseling	283 5.1%	179 4.9%	
Substance Abuse Evaluation	61 1.1%	55 1.5%	
Anger Management Counseling	2 0.0%	1 0.0%	
Domestic Violence Counseling	8 0.1%	6 0.2%	
Substance Abuse Counseling	247 4.4%	182 5.0%	
Employment	1,029 18.5%	686 18.8%	
Vocational Training	549 9.9%	357 9.8%	
Education	370 6.7%	266 7.3%	
Childcare	131 2.4%	88 2.4%	
Mental Health/Retardation	6 0.1%	5 0.1%	
Other	15 0.3%	7 0.2%	
TOTAL	5,563 100%	3,650 100%	

## Section IV Compliance

### A. Court Appearance

1. Personal Bond Supervision Cases	Misdemeanor	Felony	TOTAL	Percent
Scheduled court appearances	12,710	308	13,018	
Appearances made	12,000	281	12,281	94.3%
Appearances missed	710	27	737	5.7%

2. Financial Bond Supervision Cases	Misdemeanor	Felony	TOTAL	Percent
Scheduled court appearances	7,900	15,170	23,070	
Appearances made	7,610	14,598	22,208	96.3%
Appearances missed	290	572	862	3.7%

### B. Instrument Issued for Missed Appearances (FTA)

Instrument	Personal Bond			Financial Bond		
	Misdemeanor	Felony	TOTAL	Misdemeanor	Felony	TOTAL
ACI/REV/B	68	9	77	8	0	8
ACI/OC	11	0	11	0	2	2
ACI/BF	283	3	286	89	213	302
C87/AI	11	1	12	0	0	0
Other	1	0	1	1	1	2
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>374</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>387</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>216</b>	<b>314</b>
Less Reinstated	33	0	33	6	6	12
Less Jailed	187	10	197	64	175	239
Less Other	44	0	44	9	33	42
<b>TOTAL UNRESOLVED WARRANTS a.</b>	<b>110</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>113</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>21</b>

a. Warrants still outstanding as of February 28, 2006.

### C. Agency or Division Responsible for the Arrest of Defendants that FTA

Includes all arrests on FTA warrants in the reporting year regardless of the date the warrant was issued.

1. Defendant arrested by	Personal Bond		Financial Bond		TOTAL	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
HCSO in Pretrial Services Office b.	23	10.0%	12	5.2%	35	7.6%
HCSO Street Units (PTS information)	13	5.7%	19	8.3%	32	7.0%
HCSO Court Bailiff's Office with PTS	40	17.5%	77	33.5%	117	25.5%
HCSO Street Units	41	17.9%	7	3.0%	48	10.5%
HCSO Court Bailiff's Office	19	8.3%	44	19.1%	63	13.7%
Houston Police Department	46	20.1%	36	15.7%	82	17.9%
Other Law Enforcement	47	20.5%	35	15.2%	82	17.9%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>229</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>230</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>459</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

b. Precinct One constables or other law enforcement may also assist.

2. Defendants resolved	Personal	Financial
Total defendants resolved	405	333
Resolved by arrest	229	230

**D. Defendants Charged with New Offense While Supervised by Pretrial Services**

1. Type of charge filed	Personal Bond			Financial Bond		
	Misdemeanor	Felony	TOTAL	Misdemeanor	Felony	TOTAL
New Misdemeanor	44	1	45	57	102	159
New Misdemeanor/Offense Date Precedes Bond Case	4	0	4	1	11	12
New Felony	14	0	14	18	105	123
New Felony/Offense Date Precedes Bond Case	0	1	1	0	5	5
Both New Misd & New Felony	0	0	0	0	1	1
New Misd & New Felony/Offense Date Precedes Bond Case	0	0	0	0	10	10
Refile/Reindictment - Same Court Division	8	0	8	9	36	45
Refile/Reindictment - Enhanced to Felony	2	0	2	4	6	10
Refile/Reindictment - Reduced to Misdemeanor	0	0	0	1	26	27
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>302</b>	<b>392</b>

2. Bail status of new offense case	Personal Bond			Financial Bond		
	Misdemeanor	Felony	TOTAL	Misdemeanor	Felony	TOTAL
Personal Bond Granted	0	0	0	0	0	0
Personal Bond Granted with Additional Conditions	0	0	0	0	0	0
Financial Bond Posted	9	1	10	19	30	49
In Custody	40	0	40	43	139	182
Non-arrest	13	1	14	11	62	73
Summons Issued	0	0	0	1	3	4
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>234</b>	<b>308</b>

3. Bail status of refile or reindictment	Personal Bond			Financial Bond		
	Misdemeanor	Felony	TOTAL	Misdemeanor	Felony	TOTAL
Bond Transferred	0	0	0	1	11	12
Personal Bond Granted	0	0	0	0	0	0
Personal Bond Granted with Additional Conditions	0	0	0	0	0	0
Financial Bond Posted	2	0	2	1	7	8
In Custody	0	0	0	2	6	8
Non-arrest	5	0	5	8	37	45
Summons Issued	3	0	3	4	7	11
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>84</b>

4. Action on supervised case	Personal Bond			Financial Bond		
	Misdemeanor	Felony	TOTAL	Misdemeanor	Felony	TOTAL
Bond Surrendered	0	0	0	1	3	4
Bond Revoked	26	1	27	29	120	149
Supervision Continued	33	0	33	37	146	183
Supervision Continued with Amended Conditions	0	1	1	2	2	4
Case Disposed	13	0	13	21	31	52
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>302</b>	<b>392</b>

### E. Drug Testing on Supervised Defendants (Chart D)

1. Drug/Alcohol screens by Bond Type	Personal Bond	Financial Bond	Courtesy	Other	TOTAL
Total number of defendants tested	365	5,538	2	781	6,686
Total number of specimens tested	1,186	32,469	29	980	34,664

2. Number of Instances Where the Defendant Was Unable to Provide a Specimen	6,239
---	-------

4. Tests Performed by Test Type	Lab-Urine Analysis	Transdermal Patch	Point of Collection Test (POCT)	Deep Lung Device	TOTAL
Total number of specimens tested	32,996	10	1,597	61	34,664
<b>Test Results</b> a.					
Negative	26,640	8	1,123	57	27,828
Presumptive Positive	6,291		436	4	6,731
Confirmed positive	5,972	2	93	0	6,067
Administrative negative	b. 65		11	0	76
No result as of 02/28/06	0	0	27	0	27

a. A test may be counted in more than one category when the test is a presumptive positive and a final result is also recorded.  
 b. A sample is considered an administrative negative for reasons such as an illegible label or if the specimen has been compromised.

### 5. Results for Presumptive Positives

a. Presumptive Positives by Test Type	Lab-Urine Analysis	Collection Test (POCT)	Deep Lung Device	TOTAL
Alcohol	164	0	4	168
THC	3,765	266	0	4,031
PCP	346	29	0	375
Cocaine	2,116	188	0	2,304
Opiates/Opioids	629	7	0	636
Amphetamine	1,007	41	0	1,048
Other	0		0	0
Total drugs presumed positive	8,027	531	4	8,562

b. Presumptive Positives Results	1st Test	2nd Test	3rd Test	4+ Test	TOTAL	Percent
Alcohol	37	10	21	100	168	2.0%
THC	1,621	637	399	1,374	4,031	47.1%
PCP	98	50	42	185	375	4.4%
Cocaine	789	239	214	1,062	2,304	26.9%
Opiates/Opioids	122	45	45	424	636	7.4%
Amphetamine	277	106	78	578	1,039	12.1%
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
Total drugs positive	2,944	1,087	799	3,723	8,553	100%
Percent of defendants tested who were positive	42.46%	21.23%	17.39%	12.76%		

## 6 . Results for Confirmed Positives

Lab Analyzed Confirmed Positive Results a.	1st Test	2nd Test	3rd Test	4+ Test	TOTAL	Percent
Alcohol	28	10	17	88	143	2.0%
THC	1,318	550	339	1,203	3,410	46.8%
PCP	189	38	38	162	427	5.9%
Cocaine	654	195	192	880	1,921	26.3%
Opiates/Opioids	148	36	35	357	576	7.9%
Amphetamine	208	79	60	424	771	10.6%
Other	28	3	3	11	45	0.6%
Total drugs positive	2,573	911	684	3,125	7,293	100%

a. Includes transdermal patch and urine lab test results.

## F. Non-FTA Revocations/Surrenders

Bond Surrender/Revocation for Non-compliance	Personal Bond			Financial Bond		
	Misd	Felony	TOTAL	Misd	Felony	TOTAL
New offense						
- Misdemeanor	14	1	15	30	61	91
- Felony	8	1	9	8	81	89
Failure to report	14	4	18	18	56	74
EMS/Curfew violation	1	3	4	8	26	34
Positive drug/alcohol test	7	2	9	47	370	417
Other	23	4	27	41	113	154
TOTAL	67	15	82	152	707	859

## Section V Disposition of Bond Event

### A. Disposition of Defendants on Personal Bond (Chart C)

Dispositions	Misdemeanor		Felony		Both				TOTAL	
	Def	%	Def	%	Felony	%	Misd	%	Def	%
No Billed	0	0.0%	2	1.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.1%
Dismissed/Not Guilty	876	24.2%	31	28.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	907	24.3%
MRP/MAJ Dismissed	13	0.4%	12	10.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	25	0.7%
Fine	214	5.9%	1	0.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	215	5.8%
Deferred Adjudication	831	22.9%	18	16.4%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	850	22.7%
Probation	350	9.7%	4	3.6%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	354	9.5%
Fine & Credit Time Served	223	6.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	223	6.0%
HCJ - Credit Time Served	127	3.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	127	3.4%
HCJ - Jail Time	268	7.4%	7	6.4%	1	0.0%	1	0.0%	276	7.4%
Fine & Jail Time	277	7.6%	1	0.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	278	7.4%
MRP/MAJ Granted	3	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	0.1%
TDCJ/SJ	0	0.0%	3	2.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	0.1%
TDCJ/ID	0	0.0%	4	3.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	0.1%
Non-Compliance	67	1.8%	14	12.7%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	81	2.2%
Failure to Appear	339	9.4%	10	9.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	349	9.3%
Other	37	1.0%	3	2.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	40	1.1%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>3,625</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>110</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>3,737</b>	<b>100%</b>

### B. Disposition of Defendants on Financial Bond Supervised by PTS (Chart C)

Dispositions	Misdemeanor		Felony		Both				TOTAL	
	Def	%	Def	%	Felony	%	Misd	%	Def	%
No Billed	0	0.0%	56	1.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	56	1.0%
Dismissed/Not Guilty	251	11.4%	443	13.6%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	695	12.7%
MRP/MAJ Dismissed	15	0.7%	15	0.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	30	0.5%
Fine	76	3.4%	10	0.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	86	1.6%
Deferred Adjudication	208	9.4%	819	25.1%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	1,027	18.8%
Probation	406	18.4%	319	9.8%	1	0.0%	1	0.0%	726	13.3%
Fine & Credit Time Served	107	4.8%	7	0.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	114	2.1%
HCJ - Credit Time Served	62	2.8%	25	0.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	87	1.6%
HCJ - Jail Time	639	29.0%	315	9.7%	1	0.0%	2	0.0%	955	17.4%
Fine & Jail Time	118	5.3%	15	0.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	133	2.4%
MRP/MAJ Granted	7	0.3%	3	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10	0.2%
TDCJ/SJ	0	0.0%	116	3.6%	2	0.0%	0	0.0%	118	2.2%
TDCJ/ID	1	0.0%	139	4.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	140	2.6%
Non-Compliance	152	6.9%	706	21.7%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	859	15.7%
Failure to Appear	91	4.1%	224	6.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	315	5.8%
Other	74	3.4%	48	1.5%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	123	2.2%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>2,207</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>3,260</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>5,474</b>	<b>100%</b>



### C. Disposition of Other Defendants Supervised by PTS (non-bond cases)

Dispositions	Misdemeanor		Felony		Both				TOTAL	
	Def	%	Def	%	Felony	%	Misd	%	Def	%
Successful	15	78.9%	15	88.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	30	83.3%
Unsuccessful	4	21.1%	2	11.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	16.7%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>100%</b>



### D. Days Under Supervision

Days supervised by Pretrial Services	Personal Bond		Financial Bond		Other (non-bond)	
	Felony	Misd	Felony	Misd	Felony	Misd
High	964.0	3,396.0	1,408.0	1,912.0	67.0	365.0
Low	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	9.0
Median	52.0	42.0	86.0	56.0	5.5	43.0



## Misdemeanor Supervised Cases by Charge Category



## Felony Supervised Cases by Charge Category




## Disposition of Defendants Supervised by Pretrial Services




### Screening Results

- Negative
- Positive
- Administrative negative
- No result as of 02/28/06




### Presumptive Positive Results by Drug Category


- Alcohol
- THC
- PCP
- Cocaine
- Opiates/Opioids
- Amphetamine




**Bookings**




**Interviews**



**Releases on Personal Bond**



## Table of Organization



Total employees: 101