





Harris County Pretrial Services Agency



1994 Annual Report

Mission

To develop and provide services that support informed, accountable pretrial release and detention processes which neither unduly restrict a defendant's liberty nor compromise the safety of the community.



Charles E. Noble

Director

Carol Oeller

Assistant Director

Mara Porper

Information Resources Manager

Thomas McCarty, Jr.

Myra Smith

Division Supervisors

John Bramblett

Daniel Hiei

Steve Johnson

Kim Linden

Carmen Martinez

Ell Navarro

Dennis Potts

Ritchey Robin

J. P. Walker

Mary Walsh

Ruby Weaver

Supervisors

Acknowledgements

The Pretrial Services Agency is part of a larger criminal justice community that works together to promote the administration of justice in Harris County. We would like to specifically recognize the Harris County Commissioners' Court, the Pretrial Services Standing Committee, the District Criminal Courts, the County Criminal Courts at Law, and the Harris County Community Supervision and Corrections Department as key contributors to a quality Pretrial Services program.

Innovative Screening Methods Identify Conditions Needed for Release

The Pretrial Services Agency continues to develop new programs that have an impact on the jail population in Harris County. This article looks at three programs implemented in the last two years. Each was designed to reach specific defendant populations that might fall through the cracks during routine screening and monitoring processes.

Reassessing Defendants Unable To Make Bail

The first program involves representing reports for defendants whose release on a personal bond was denied or held over by the court at the initial hearing.

The operation of this project is simple. If, after the initial hearing, the defendant is still in jail, the case is not disposed, and the defendant meets specific risk criteria the paperwork is turned over to the representation staff. The Pretrial Officer further investigates the defendant's information. At subsequent hearings, the officer will review the defendant's report form with



the sitting judge and a consideration will be made for possible release on personal bond. Special conditions, such as drug screening, electronic monitoring, or a curfew can be imposed as part of the release order.

Substance Abuse Assessment and Treatment

The second program involves identifying defendants who may need some form of substance abuse intervention. Defendants who nor-

mally would remain in jail because the judge had no way of evaluating the seriousness of a substance abuse problem can now be evaluated and recommended to appropriate treatment facilities or programs.

During the interview a defendant is asked if they have a drug or alcohol problem and if they would participate in substance abuse program. In addition, the Pretrial Services Agency staff looks at the offense and the defendant's criminal record. If the defendant responded positively to the interview questions or meets the profile of someone who may have a substance abuse problem, the Pretrial Services Agency makes a referral to the Treatment Alternatives to Incarceration Program (TAIP). TAIP sends a trained representative to the jail to assess the defendant's substance abuse problem.

A Pretrial Officer presents the assessment results, recommendations, and treatment alternatives to the court. A judge may also request an assessment on a defendant based on the facts of the case or other information available to the court. The judge may then use the assessment and treatment recommendations to set special conditions for release that require the defendant get the treatment that he/she needs.

Identifying Defendants with Mental Impairments

The final program works in much the same way as the substance abuse identification program. It focuses on identifying defendants with mental health illnesses or mental retardation.

The Pretrial Services Agency,


working in conjunction with the Mental Health and Mental Retardation Authority (MHMRA), designed a screening tool used during the interview. Each person interviewed by the Agency answers a series of questions to determine if intervention is needed

for a mental health condition. The form is then evaluated by a supervisor and forwarded to MHMRA for further action. Defendants with serious mental impairments are more quickly identified for continuity of care. Whether defendants are released or detained, they are referred to needed services.

Agency-wide Involvement

The investigation and synthesis of all the information available on a defendant is performed by the Court Services Section. The results are compiled in a report presented to the court so that the judiciary can make a more informed decision regarding the incarceration or release of a defendant.

In the Defendant Monitoring Section, the Community Resources Unit assists with the screening, referral, and coordination of services for defendants supervised by the Pretrial Services Agency. The Special Caseload Unit assumes responsibility for monitoring compliance with the special conditions of release that often accompany defendants released through the programs. The Special Caseload staff have smaller caseloads and can provide the careful tracking and intervention that the defendants in these programs require.




Court Services

Interviews

The Pretrial Services Agency compiled 57,688 interview reports in 1994, up 5% from the 1993 total of 54,888. The increase in the number of defendant reports completed by the Agency is especially noteworthy since the rate of defendants arrested for a felony or class A or B misdemeanor case remained steady at about 63,000 in 1994. In other words, the Agency provided information about a greater percentage of defendants who appeared before the bench, assisting the court in processes such as bail review and PSI.

Releases on Personal Bond

Judicial officers released 37% more defendants into the Pretrial Services Agency supervised personal bond program in 1994. The number of defendants released on a misdemeanor charge increased almost 50%, and felony releases rose 6%. Factors affecting the release numbers include the greater percentage of defendants interviewed, the changes to the 24 hour Probable Cause Hearing, and the fact that the Agency provides a wide range of conditions and sanctions for defendants released into the Pretrial Services program.



Releases Resulting In Courtesy Supervision

Defendants released on cash or surety bonds can be ordered to comply with special release conditions enforced by the Pretrial Services Agency. The courts are increasingly utilizing the comprehensive set of services, from urinalysis to electronic monitoring, offered by the Agency regardless of the release instrument used by the defendant. The Court Services Section prepared the necessary documents and made arrangements for 243 courtesy supervision cases in 1994, up over 16% from last year.

Assistant Director Honored

Carol Oeller received the 1994 Olgiatti Award for Excellence from the National Association of Pretrial Services Agencies at the Annual Conference in Atlanta, GA. The award is given annually to recog-

nize someone who has made exceptional contributions to the field of pretrial services.

Ms. Oeller, who has devoted her career to improving the quality of service and raising the professional




Hearings Change with the Times

The Probable Cause Hearing Court has evolved quite a bit since it was first introduced in 1988. Originally the Court operated weeknights from 5:00 P.M. to 8:00 A.M. and 24 hours on the weekends. Three Hearing Officers worked with representatives from the District Clerk's Office, the Sheriff's Department, the District Attorney's office, and the Pretrial Services Agency to provide magistrate warnings, bail review, and a hearing of probable cause for defendants charged with a class A or B misdemeanor offense.

Defendants charged with a Class C misdemeanor offense were soon added to the docket and a Justice of the Peace Clerk joined the Court support staff.

In the fall of 1993 many changes occurred. The Texas Legislature provided statutory authority for Harris County to establish a magistrate system to review both misdemeanor and felony cases. Defendants booked into the County Jail with a felony charge joined the misdemeanor defendants brought to the Probable Cause Hearing.

The hearings moved from a makeshift courtroom in the basement of the county jail to a courtroom designed expressly for the hearings. The hearing schedule went to 24 hours a day, seven days a week with five hearing officers available at all times so that defendants would receive their magistrates warning, bail review, and a probable cause hearing within 12 hours of the filing of charges.




standards of pretrial practitioners, earned this honor by the example she sets for all of us in the criminal justice community.

Defendant Monitoring

The Pretrial Services Agency has long offered different levels of supervision for defendants released pending the disposition of their case. The General Caseload Unit supervises defendants released with the standard conditions requiring regular, scheduled check-ins by telephone and in-person. The Special Caseload Unit is specifically designed to provide more intensive supervision aimed at "high risk" individuals as identified by the judiciary.

The General Caseload Unit began the 1994 calendar year managing approximately 1,400 defendants. During the course of the year, the defendants requiring general supervision grew to approximately 1,850 by December 1994. This represents a 32% increase over a 12 month period.

Judicial confidence in the quality of service provided by the Special Caseload Unit is evidenced by the growth in the number of defendants ordered released from jail with special conditions. In 1994, 1,412 defendants were placed under the supervision of the Special Caseload Unit, a 30% increase over 1993. Defendants requiring intensive supervision now account for 16% of the overall caseload of the Defendant Monitoring Section.



1994, the Community Resource Unit interviewed over 8,300 people, a 33% increase over the number of people screened in 1993. Over 50% of the defendants indicated that they needed some assistance, with employment the need most often mentioned.

In addition, this unit helps to ensure that defendants comply with court ordered referrals to services such as mental health counseling, alcohol and substance abuse counseling, employment and educational opportunities or any other services the courts deem appropriate. Referrals are routinely made to agencies that provide services which are either free or provided on a sliding scale.

The Pretrial Services Agency offers supervision services to the judiciary for defendants released on cash and surety as well as personal bond. Currently almost one third of the specialized caseload is composed of persons released on some sort of financial bail.

The Community Resource Unit works closely with other Pretrial Services staff as well as outside agencies and organizations to provide a range of services for defendants supervised by the Pretrial Services Agency. The staff screen defendants in order to identify needs and provide referrals to appropriate service providers. In

Services Offered by the Special Caseload Unit

Screening for illegal drug use (testing through the Harris County Medical Examiner's Office)

Electronic monitoring (including in-home screening for use of alcohol by the defendant)

Curfew restriction

Residence requirements

Employment verification

Educational attainment

Supervision of substance and alcohol abuse treatment

Monitoring mental health treatment

Supervising attendance in sexual abuse and violence counseling

Coordination of supervision services for defendants living out of the Harris County area

Referrals for Services Offered by the Community Resource Unit

Medical care (including prenatal care)

Dental care

Assessment of mental health impairment and treatment

Employment

Education and vocational training

Counseling

Financial assistance for utilities and rent

Emergency housing

Substance/alcohol abuse screening and treatment

Nutrition/food

Childcare

Defendant Monitoring

TAIP Helps Identify Defendants with a Substance Abuse Problem

In 1994, the agency continued to utilize the services of the Treatment Alternatives to Incarceration Program (TAIP) to provide alcohol/substance abuse evaluations for defendants both in jail and on bail. The TAIP program is grant funded through the Texas Commission for Alcohol and Drug Abuse. In many instances defendants evaluated as appropriate for treatment are referred to either detoxification, residential, or outpatient treatment programs.

In some situations courts request evaluations prior to granting defen-

dants personal bonds and require the defendant comply with the treatment recommendations as a condition of release. In other situations, defendants are required to have an evaluation by the court after testing positive for either an illegal substance or alcohol.

TAIP, the Pretrial Services Agency, and the courts work together to identify defendants for screening, arrange for treatment, and monitor attendance and compliance with the substance abuse rehabilitation program. By utilizing the TAIP program many courts have enabled defendants to start treatment while on bail and allowed them to continue treatment if sentenced to probation.

Preventing, Resolving Failures to Appear In Court a Priority

Efforts to prevent the failure to appear (FTA) in court of defendants released on personal bond through Harris County Pretrial Services Agency begin before the defendant is even released. Agency staff inform the defendant face-to-face of the conditions of release and the consequences of noncompliance, provide a written copy (in English and Spanish) of release conditions and the next scheduled court setting, and give the defendant a map of the county building complex.

Once released, a defendant is required to report in-person within 24 hours to the Defendant Monitoring Section office. There a Pretrial Officer reviews all conditions of release with the defendant and answers any questions. Regular contact is maintained with the defendant for the duration of the case.

These efforts proved effective in limiting the number of defendants who had a FTA warrant issued in court. In 1994, 8,238 defendants on personal bond had their cases disposed. Of that total, 646 defendants, or 7.8%, failed to appear.

The Pretrial Services Agency's involvement with a defendant does not end with the issuance of a warrant. The resolution of the warrant is a priority within the Pretrial Services Agency and an area of major importance to the Harris County judiciary. Efforts are immediately undertaken to locate these defendants and determine the reason for their failure to appear in court. The Pretrial Services Agency closed approximately 77% of the FTA warrants issued in 1994 as of January 31, 1995.

The success in resolving FTA warrants is attributable to the priority the Pretrial Services Agency places on resolving the cases and the cooperation that has developed between Agency staff and the Criminal Warrant Detectives of the Harris County Sheriff's Office. The duties of four (4) detectives from the Criminal Warrants Division include the location of defendants that were released on personal bond and failed to appear for court.




Defendants must check in by phone regularly with the General Caseload Unit



Face-to-face meetings with defendants are an essential element of supervision in the Special Caseload Unit



Tracking down defendants who fail to appear requires patience and persistence



Tables and Charts

I. Incarcerated Defendant Activity (Jail)

A. Number of defendants arrested at the time charges were filed:

| Filing Agency | Misdemeanor | % | Felony | % | Both | % | TOTAL | % |
|---------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|
| HPD/Park | 25,328 | 64.3% | 12,402 | 69.6% | 824 | 64.1% | 38,554 | 65.9% |
| HCSO | 4,825 | 12.3% | 2,372 | 13.3% | 168 | 13.1% | 7,365 | 12.6% |
| Pasadena | 824 | 2.1% | 471 | 2.6% | 18 | 1.4% | 1,313 | 2.2% |
| Baytown | 900 | 2.3% | 371 | 2.1% | 25 | 1.9% | 1,296 | 2.2% |
| Humble | 288 | 0.7% | 121 | 0.7% | 5 | 0.4% | 414 | 0.7% |
| DPS | 681 | 1.7% | 161 | 0.9% | 22 | 1.7% | 864 | 1.5% |
| Constables | 2,548 | 6.5% | 550 | 3.1% | 73 | 5.7% | 3,171 | 5.4% |
| Other | 3,987 | 10.1% | 1,362 | 7.6% | 151 | 11.7% | 5,500 | 9.4% |
| TOTAL | 39,381 | 100% | 17,810 | 100% | 1,286 | 100% | 58,477 | 100% |

B. Number of defendants arrested on a warrant:

| Misdemeanor | Felony | Both | TOTAL | % of Arr. |
|-------------|--------|------|-------|-----------|
| 1,527 | 3,064 | 0 | 4,591 | 7.3% |

C. Total number of defendants arrested in the reporting month:

| Misdemeanor | Felony | Both | TOTAL | % Intery |
|-------------|--------|-------|--------|----------|
| 40,908 | 20,874 | 1,286 | 63,068 | 90.5% |

D. Number and location of incarcerated defendant interviews conducted by the Pretrial Services Agency.

| Location | Misdemeanor | % | Felony | % | Both ^a | % | TOTAL | % |
|--------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|
| HPD Jails | 24,210 | 67.9% | 11,796 | 60.3% | 1,165 | 62.2% | 37,171 | 65.1% |
| HCJ/PCH | 11,342 | 31.8% | 7,636 | 39.0% | 702 | 37.5% | 19,680 | 34.5% |
| Other | 81 | 0.2% | 131 | 0.7% | 7 | 0.4% | 219 | 0.4% |
| TOTAL | 35,633 | 100% | 19,563 | 100% | 1,874 | 100% | 57,070 | 100% |

a. These numbers may be larger than arrests due to charges added after the defendant was booked.

E. Number and location of defendant releases on a personal bond:

| Location | Misdemeanor | % | Felony | % | Both | % | TOTAL | % |
|--------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|--------------|-------------|
| HPD | 1,994 | 30.5% | b. 95 | 5.9% | 6 | 8.0% | 2,095 | 25.5% |
| HCJ | a 4,538 | 69.5% | c. 1,510 | 94.1% | 69 | 92.0% | 6,117 | 74.5% |
| Rehab | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% |
| Other | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% |
| TOTAL | 6,532 | 100% | 1,605 | 100% | 75 | 100% | 8,212 | 100% |

a. This figure includes seven (7) reinstatements of personal bond.

b. This figure includes one (1) reinstatement of personal bond.

c. This figure includes twenty-six (26) reinstatements of personal bond, two (2) personal bonds granted for fugitive charges, and one (1) personal bond granted for a Writ of Attachment.

II. Non-incarcerated Defendant Activity (Non-arrest)

A. Number of defendants interviewed or with an application on file who had not been arrested:

| Misdemeanor | Felony | Both | TOTAL |
|-------------|--------|------|-------|
| 383 | 210 | 5 | 598 |

B. Number of above defendants granted a personal bond:

| Misdemeanor | Felony | Both | TOTAL |
|-------------|--------|------|-------|
| 358 | 177 | 3 | 538 |

C. Number of non-arrest personal bonds filed:

| Misdemeanor | Felony | Both | TOTAL |
|-------------|--------|------|-------|
| a. 363 | b. 175 | 4 | 542 |

a. This figure includes sixteen (16) reinstatements of personal bond.

b. This figure includes five (5) reinstatements of personal bond.

III. Characteristics of Interviewed Defendants

A. Gender:

| | Misdemeanor | % | Felony | % | TOTAL | % |
|--------|-------------|-------|--------|-------|--------|-------|
| Male | 29,365 | 81.5% | 18,029 | 83.3% | 47,394 | 82.2% |
| Female | 6,651 | 18.5% | 3,623 | 16.7% | 10,274 | 17.8% |
| TOTAL | 36,016 | 100% | 21,652 | 100% | 57,668 | 100% |

B. Race/Ethnicity:

| | Misdemeanor | % | Felony | % | TOTAL | % |
|----------|-------------|-------|--------|-------|--------|-------|
| White | 13,333 | 37.0% | 6,383 | 29.5% | 19,716 | 34.2% |
| Black | 11,288 | 31.3% | 10,278 | 47.5% | 21,566 | 37.4% |
| Hispanic | 10,799 | 30.0% | 4,662 | 21.5% | 15,461 | 26.8% |
| Asian | 578 | 1.6% | 323 | 1.5% | 901 | 1.6% |
| Other | 18 | 0.0% | 6 | 0.0% | 24 | 0.0% |
| TOTAL | 36,016 | 100% | 21,652 | 100% | 57,668 | 100% |

C. Age:

| | Misdemeanor | % | Felony | % | TOTAL | % |
|---------|-------------|-------|--------|-------|--------|-------|
| < 17 | 22 | 0.1% | 136 | 0.6% | 158 | 0.3% |
| 17-19 | 4,927 | 13.7% | 3,932 | 18.2% | 8,859 | 15.4% |
| 20-24 | 8,001 | 22.2% | 4,346 | 20.1% | 12,347 | 21.4% |
| 25-29 | 6,958 | 19.3% | 3,601 | 16.6% | 10,559 | 18.3% |
| 30-35 | 7,028 | 19.5% | 4,199 | 19.4% | 11,227 | 19.5% |
| > 35 | 8,925 | 24.8% | 5,305 | 24.5% | 14,230 | 24.7% |
| Unknown | 155 | 0.4% | 133 | 0.6% | 288 | 0.5% |
| TOTAL | 36,016 | 100% | 21,652 | 100% | 57,668 | 100% |

D. Education Level:

| | Misd | % | Felony | % | TOTAL | % |
|----------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|
| No education | 371 | 1.0% | 159 | 0.7% | 530 | 0.9% |
| 1st-8th grade | 5,261 | 14.6% | 2,624 | 12.1% | 7,885 | 13.7% |
| 9th-11th grade | 9,478 | 26.3% | 6,978 | 32.2% | 16,456 | 28.5% |
| H.S.Grad/GED | 12,497 | 34.7% | 7,667 | 35.4% | 20,164 | 35.0% |
| College | 7,908 | 22.0% | 3,814 | 17.6% | 11,722 | 20.3% |
| Unknown | 501 | 1.4% | 410 | 1.9% | 911 | 1.6% |
| TOTAL | 36,016 | 100% | 21,652 | 100% | 57,668 | 100% |

E. Charge Categories :*

| Misdemeanor | Number | % | Felony | Number | % |
|--------------------|---------------|-------------|----------------------------|---------------|-------------|
| DWI | 10,178 | 28.3% | Murder / Vol Manslaughter | 239 | 1.1% |
| DWLS/Other traffic | 4,494 | 12.5% | Assault / Attempted Murder | 2,348 | 10.8% |
| Theft | 6,849 | 19.0% | Sexual Assault Adult | 234 | 1.1% |
| Prostitution | 1,110 | 3.1% | Sexual Assault Child | 428 | 2.0% |
| Drug Possession | 2,637 | 7.3% | Robbery | 1,292 | 6.0% |
| Trespass | 765 | 2.1% | Other Personal Offense | 157 | 0.7% |
| Weapon | 1,956 | 5.4% | Burglary | 1,904 | 8.8% |
| Evading Arrest | 1,188 | 3.3% | Theft | 2,042 | 9.4% |
| Resisting Arrest | 475 | 1.3% | Auto Theft | 1,309 | 6.0% |
| Assault | 3,297 | 9.2% | Other Property Offense | 1,615 | 7.5% |
| Criminal Mischief | 611 | 1.7% | Drug Sale / Manufacture | 1,860 | 8.6% |
| Other | 2,456 | 6.8% | Drug Possession | 5,600 | 25.9% |
| | | | DWI | 1,201 | 5.5% |
| | | | Other | 1,423 | 6.6% |
| TOTAL | 36,016 | 100% | TOTAL | 21,652 | 100% |

* If a defendant has a felony and a misdemeanor offense, they are reflected in the appropriate felony charge category; if a defendant has two or more different felony or two or more different misdemeanor charges, they are counted only once and appear in the first applicable category.

F. Risk Classification Categories:

| | Misd | % | Felony | % | TOTAL | % |
|--------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|
| 4 | 6,433 | 17.9% | 1,716 | 7.9% | 8,149 | 14.1% |
| 3 | 8,003 | 22.2% | 2,983 | 13.8% | 10,986 | 19.1% |
| 2 | 7,640 | 21.2% | 4,099 | 18.9% | 11,739 | 20.4% |
| 1 | 6,849 | 19.0% | 4,997 | 23.1% | 11,846 | 20.5% |
| 0 | 4,337 | 12.0% | 4,186 | 19.3% | 8,523 | 14.8% |
| -1 | 1,777 | 4.9% | 2,183 | 10.1% | 3,960 | 6.9% |
| -2 | 700 | 1.9% | 1,054 | 4.9% | 1,754 | 3.0% |
| -3 | 252 | 0.7% | 381 | 1.8% | 633 | 1.1% |
| -4 | 24 | 0.1% | 52 | 0.2% | 76 | 0.1% |
| -5 | 1 | 0.0% | 1 | 0.0% | 2 | 0.0% |
| TOTAL | 36,016 | 100% | 21,652 | 100% | 57,668 | 100% |

IV. Classification and Activity on Defendant Interviews

| A. The Defendant | Misdemeanor | | Felony | | TOTAL | % |
|--|---------------|------------|---------------|------------|---------------|--------------|
| | Jail | NA | Jail | NA | | |
| Refused an interview | 148 | 0 | 167 | 0 | 315 | 0.5% |
| Negative Score | 2,238 | 4 | 3,040 | 5 | 5,287 | 9.2% |
| Has no bond set | 48 | 0 | 2,237 | 2 | 2,287 | 4.0% |
| Has a bond > 20,000 | 1 | 0 | 635 | 7 | 643 | 1.1% |
| Has an unverifiable application | 665 | 0 | 446 | 0 | 1,111 | 1.9% |
| Made surety or cash bond before court review | 8,443 | 0 | 3,480 | 10 | 11,933 | 20.7% |
| Had case disposed | 884 | 2 | 1,640 | 3 | 2,529 | 4.4% |
| SUBTOTAL | 12,427 | 6 | 11,645 | 27 | 24,105 | 41.8% |
| All Other Applications | 23,206 | 377 | 9,792 | 188 | 33,563 | 58.2% |
| TOTAL APPLICATIONS | 35,633 | 383 | 21,437 | 215 | 57,668 | 100% |

| B. Outcome of court review on defendant interviews | Misdemeanor | | Felony | | TOTAL | % |
|--|---------------|------------|---------------|------------|---------------|-------------|
| | Jail | NA | Jail | NA | | |
| Approved | 5,104 | 330 | 520 | 129 | 6,083 | 15.4% |
| Approved pending verification | 2,341 | 5 | 246 | 1 | 2,593 | 6.6% |
| Approved with additional conditions | 284 | 23 | 594 | 48 | 949 | 2.4% |
| Denied | 18,324 | 22 | 11,080 | 17 | 29,443 | 74.7% |
| Denied Bond Lowered | 0 | 0 | 68 | 1 | 69 | 0.2% |
| Pending | 71 | 0 | 190 | 1 | 262 | 0.7% |
| TOTAL APPLICATIONS REVIEWED | 26,124 | 380 | 12,698 | 197 | 39,399 | 100% |

| C. Court review of applications previously accounted for: | Misdemeanor | | Felony | | TOTAL | % |
|---|--------------|----------|--------------|----------|--------------|-------------|
| | Jail | NA | Jail | NA | | |
| Approved | 223 | 0 | 132 | 1 | 356 | 7.9% |
| Approved pending verification | 23 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 62 | 1.4% |
| Approved with additional conditions | 45 | 0 | 274 | 0 | 319 | 7.1% |
| Denied | 788 | 2 | 2,867 | 2 | 3,659 | 81.3% |
| Denied Bond Lowered | 0 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 62 | 1.4% |
| Pending | 14 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 43 | 1.0% |
| TOTAL APPLICATIONS REVIEWED | 1,093 | 2 | 3,403 | 3 | 4,501 | 100% |

| D. Approval activity: | Misdemeanor | | Felony | | TOTAL |
|-----------------------|-------------|-----|--------|-----|--------|
| | Jail | NA | Jail | NA | |
| Total approvals | 8,002 | 358 | 1,805 | 181 | 10,346 |
| Applications filed | 7,565 | 357 | 1,751 | 181 | 9,854 |
| Defendants released | 6,532 | 363 | 1,680 | 179 | 8,754 |

V. Total Number of Defendants Placed Under PTSA Supervision

| Type of Release | Misdemeanor | Felony | Total |
|------------------------------------|-------------|--------|-------|
| Released on personal bond | 6895 | 1859 | 8754 |
| –Assigned to special caseload unit | 324 | 845 | 1169 |
| Released on surety/cash bond | 7 | 236 | 243 |
| –Assigned to special caseload unit | 7 | 236 | 243 |

VI. Personal Bond Releases by Charge Category

A. Misdemeanor:

| Charge Category | Number of Cases | Percent |
|----------------------|-----------------|-------------|
| MRP/MAJ | 192 | 2.6% |
| DWI | 2094 | 28.1% |
| DWLS / Other Traffic | 956 | 12.8% |
| Theft | 1778 | 23.8% |
| Prostitution | 131 | 1.8% |
| Drug Possession | 732 | 9.8% |
| Trespass | 113 | 1.5% |
| Weapon | 174 | 2.3% |
| Evading Arrest | 271 | 3.6% |
| Resist Arrest | 99 | 1.3% |
| Assault | 203 | 2.7% |
| Criminal Mischief | 139 | 1.9% |
| Other | 573 | 7.7% |
| TOTAL CHARGES | 7,455 | 100% |

B. Felony:

| Charge Category | Number of Cases | Percent |
|----------------------------|-----------------|-------------|
| MRP / MAJ | 164 | 7.9% |
| Murder / Vol. Manslaughter | 22 | 1.1% |
| Assault / Att. Murder | 118 | 5.7% |
| Sexual Assault Adult | 16 | 0.8% |
| Sexual Assault Child | 32 | 1.5% |
| Robbery | 58 | 2.8% |
| Other Personal Offenses | 69 | 3.3% |
| Burglary | 180 | 8.7% |
| Theft | 239 | 11.5% |
| Auto Theft | 113 | 5.4% |
| Other Property Offenses | 323 | 15.6% |
| Drug Sale / Manufacture | 92 | 4.4% |
| Drug Possession | 454 | 21.9% |
| DWI | 43 | 2.1% |
| Other | 153 | 7.4% |
| TOTAL CHARGES | 2,076 | 100% |

VII. Monthly Average Number of Defendants Supervised by the Pretrial Services Agency in 1994

| | Personal Bond | SUBN/CABD | Courtesy PT Div | Other | TOTAL | Percent |
|---------------------------|---------------|-----------|-----------------|----------|--------------|-------------|
| Felony | 659 | 83 | 2 | 0 | 744 | 37.5% |
| Misdemeanor | 1,178 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1,181 | 59.6% |
| Both Felony & Misdemeanor | 53 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 2.7% |
| Other | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0.2% |
| TOTAL | 1,894 | 86 | 2 | 0 | 1,982 | 100% |

VIII. Supervision Categories (Monthly Average)

| | Number | Percent |
|---|--------------|--------------|
| A. Number of defendants required to comply with standard conditions. | <u>1,599</u> | <u>80.7%</u> |
| B. Number of defendants required to comply with special conditions. | <u>383</u> | <u>19.3%</u> |
| 1. SPECIAL CONDITIONS MONITORED ON PERSONAL BOND DEFENDANTS: * | | |
| a. Urinalysis Drug Screening | <u>150</u> | |
| b. Electronic Monitoring Supervision | <u>66</u> | |
| c. Weekly in-person check-in | <u>34</u> | |
| d. Other conditions | <u>42</u> | |
| 2. SPECIAL CONDITIONS MONITORED ON COURTESY SUPERVISION DEFENDANTS: * | | |
| a. Urinalysis Drug Screening | <u>61</u> | |
| b. Electronic Monitoring Supervision | <u>44</u> | |
| c. Weekly in-person check-in | <u>8</u> | |
| d. Other conditions | <u>6</u> | |

* Defendants may be included in more than one category, and, therefore, may be counted more than once.

IX. Active Defendants: Case Classification Change

| | |
|-----------------------------|-----------|
| A. Active misdemeanor case: | |
| New felony charge; | |
| Personal Bond Granted | <u>12</u> |
| B. Active felony case: | |
| New misdemeanor charge; | |
| Personal Bond Granted | <u>10</u> |

X. Disposition of Defendants on Personal Bond

| A. Dispositions | Misdemeanor | | Felony | | Both | | TOTAL | | | |
|-----------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-------------|--------------|-------------|
| | Def | % | Def | % | Felony | % | Misc | % | Def | % |
| No Billed | 0 | 0.0% | 36 | 2.1% | 3 | 3.3% | 0 | 0.0% | 39 | 0.5% |
| Dismissed/Not Guilty | 545 | 8.5% | 249 | 14.3% | 13 | 14.1% | 17 | 18.5% | 807 | 9.8% |
| Credit Time Served | 86 | 1.3% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 7 | 7.6% | 86 | 1.0% |
| Fined | 903 | 14.1% | 17 | 1.0% | 2 | 2.2% | 16 | 17.4% | 922 | 11.2% |
| Deferred Adjudication | 1,937 | 30.2% | 720 | 41.5% | 33 | 35.9% | 11 | 12.0% | 2,690 | 32.7% |
| Probation | 1,631 | 25.4% | 170 | 9.8% | 14 | 15.2% | 6 | 6.5% | 1,815 | 22.0% |
| HCJ Time | 474 | 7.4% | 23 | 1.3% | 3 | 3.3% | 8 | 8.7% | 500 | 6.1% |
| TDC Time | 0 | 0.0% | 33 | 1.9% | 1 | 1.1% | 0 | 0.0% | 34 | 0.4% |
| MRP/MAJ Dismissed | 125 | 2.0% | 120 | 6.9% | 3 | 3.3% | 3 | 3.3% | 248 | 3.0% |
| MRP/MAJ Granted | 52 | 0.8% | 9 | 0.5% | 0 | 0.0% | 4 | 4.3% | 61 | 0.7% |
| Failures to Appear | 532 | 8.3% | 107 | 6.2% | 7 | 7.6% | 8 | 8.7% | 646 | 7.8% |
| Non-Compliance | 68 | 1.1% | 208 | 12.0% | 11 | 12.0% | 10 | 10.9% | 287 | 3.5% |
| Other | 56 | 0.9% | 45 | 2.6% | 2 | 2.2% | 2 | 2.2% | 103 | 1.3% |
| TOTAL | 6,409 | 100% | 1,737 | 100% | 92 | 100% | 92 | 100% | 8,238 | 100% |

| B. Days on bond with Pretrial Services | Felony | Misc |
|--|--------|------|
| Average | 108.0 | 57.0 |

XI. Case Dispostions

A. Felony

| | MRP / MAJ | MURD / VOL MAN | ASSLT/ AT MUR | SX ASLT ADULT | SX ASLT CHILD | ROBB | OTH PERS | BURG | THEFT | AUTO THFT | OTH PROP | DRG SL /MANU | DRUG POSS | DWI | OTH | TOTAL |
|--------------|--------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------|-------------|------------|------------|--------------|-------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------|------------|--------------|
| NOB | 0 | 2 | 7 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 40 |
| % | 0.0 | 7.1 | 5.7 | 14.3 | 10.7 | 2.5 | 5.8 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 |
| DISM | 0 | 6 | 40 | 3 | 14 | 16 | 17 | 44 | 42 | 23 | 33 | 11 | 60 | 0 | 15 | 324 |
| % | 0.0 | 21.4 | 32.8 | 21.4 | 25.0 | 20.0 | 24.6 | 23.0 | 21.3 | 17.6 | 11.1 | 13.1 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 12.1 | 15.7 |
| MRP DISM | 162 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 162 |
| % | 74.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.8 |
| DADJ | 0 | 8 | 48 | 3 | 25 | 39 | 31 | 88 | 88 | 53 | 180 | 33 | 153 | 0 | 52 | 801 |
| % | 0.0 | 28.6 | 39.3 | 21.4 | 44.6 | 48.8 | 44.9 | 46.1 | 44.7 | 40.5 | 60.4 | 39.3 | 36.4 | 0.0 | 41.9 | 38.8 |
| PROB | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 15 | 37 | 11 | 38 | 9 | 55 | 17 | 9 | 202 |
| % | 0.0 | 3.6 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 7.2 | 7.9 | 18.8 | 8.4 | 12.8 | 10.7 | 13.1 | 53.1 | 7.3 | 9.8 |
| FINE | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 19 |
| % | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.3 | 0.9 |
| HCJ | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 30 |
| % | 0 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 12.5 | 4.8 | 1.5 |
| MRP GRANT | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| % | 8.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 |
| TDC | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 39 |
| % | 0.0 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 8.9 | 3.8 | 7.2 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 4.8 | 1.4 | 6.3 | 1.6 | 1.9 |
| OTH | 24 | 4 | 11 | 3 | 4 | 12 | 2 | 16 | 12 | 11 | 20 | 13 | 48 | 5 | 17 | 202 |
| % | 11.0 | 14.3 | 9.0 | 21.4 | 7.1 | 15.0 | 2.9 | 8.4 | 6.1 | 8.4 | 6.7 | 15.5 | 11.4 | 15.6 | 13.7 | 9.8 |
| SSR | 5 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 11 | 3 | 10 | 5 | 7 | 51 | 1 | 2 | 104 |
| % | 2.3 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 1.4 | 5.8 | 1.5 | 7.6 | 1.7 | 8.3 | 12.1 | 3.1 | 1.6 | 5.0 |
| FTA | 9 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 11 | 9 | 19 | 12 | 6 | 34 | 3 | 7 | 123 |
| % | 4.1 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 7.1 | 1.8 | 3.8 | 4.3 | 5.8 | 4.6 | 14.5 | 4.0 | 7.1 | 8.1 | 9.4 | 5.6 | 6.0 |
| TOTAL | 218 | 28 | 122 | 14 | 56 | 80 | 69 | 191 | 197 | 131 | 298 | 84 | 420 | 32 | 124 | 2,064 |
| % | 10.6 | 1.4 | 5.9 | 0.7 | 2.7 | 3.9 | 3.3 | 9.3 | 9.5 | 6.3 | 14.4 | 4.1 | 20.3 | 1.6 | 6.0 | 100.0 |

XI. Case Dispositions

B. Misdemeanor

| | MRP / MAJ | DWI | DWLS / TRAF | THEFT | PROST | DRUG POSS | TRESP | WEAP | EVAD ARR | RES ARR | ASSLT | CRIM MISCH | OTH | TOTAL |
|--------------|------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|--------------|
| DISM | 0 | 139 | 61 | 124 | 8 | 66 | 27 | 37 | 38 | 23 | 71 | 26 | 71 | 691 |
| % | 0.0 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 7.4 | 6.3 | 10.3 | 19.9 | 21.1 | 15.8 | 20.7 | 36.4 | 21.7 | 14.7 | 9.9 |
| MRP DISM | 146 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 146 |
| % | 63.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 |
| DADJ | 0 | 0 | 42 | 1,113 | 55 | 286 | 61 | 45 | 62 | 39 | 53 | 50 | 186 | 1,992 |
| % | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.9 | 66.6 | 43.7 | 44.5 | 44.9 | 25.7 | 25.8 | 35.1 | 27.2 | 41.7 | 38.5 | 28.7 |
| PROB | 0 | 1,423 | 53 | 80 | 2 | 30 | 6 | 12 | 5 | 10 | 28 | 10 | 24 | 1,683 |
| % | 0.0 | 72.6 | 6.2 | 4.8 | 1.6 | 4.7 | 4.4 | 6.9 | 2.1 | 9.0 | 14.4 | 8.3 | 5.0 | 24.2 |
| FINE | 0 | 40 | 481 | 118 | 18 | 128 | 13 | 45 | 39 | 13 | 5 | 12 | 86 | 998 |
| % | 0.0 | 2.0 | 56.1 | 7.1 | 14.3 | 19.9 | 9.6 | 25.7 | 16.3 | 11.7 | 2.6 | 10.0 | 17.8 | 14.4 |
| CTS | 0 | 8 | 15 | 20 | 6 | 13 | 2 | 5 | 11 | 4 | 8 | 1 | 25 | 118 |
| % | 0.0 | 0.4 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 4.8 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 2.9 | 4.6 | 3.6 | 4.1 | 0.8 | 5.2 | 1.7 |
| HCJ | 0 | 191 | 109 | 68 | 11 | 39 | 6 | 18 | 34 | 10 | 13 | 8 | 25 | 532 |
| % | 0 | 9.7 | 12.7 | 4.1 | 8.7 | 6.1 | 4.4 | 10.3 | 14.2 | 9.0 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 5.2 | 7.7 |
| MRP GRANT | 69 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 69 |
| % | 29.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 |
| OTH | 4 | 24 | 7 | 18 | 4 | 10 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 21 | 103 |
| % | 1.7 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 3.2 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 4.3 | 1.5 |
| SSR | 3 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 38 |
| % | 1.3 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 3.2 | 1.2 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| FTA | 9 | 131 | 85 | 128 | 18 | 63 | 16 | 10 | 43 | 11 | 10 | 11 | 45 | 580 |
| % | 3.9 | 6.7 | 9.9 | 7.7 | 14.3 | 9.8 | 11.8 | 5.7 | 17.9 | 9.9 | 5.1 | 9.2 | 9.3 | 8.3 |
| TOTAL | 231 | 1,961 | 858 | 1,671 | 126 | 643 | 136 | 175 | 240 | 111 | 195 | 120 | 483 | 6,950 |
| % | 3.3 | 28.2 | 12.3 | 24.0 | 1.8 | 9.3 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 3.5 | 1.6 | 2.8 | 1.7 | 6.9 | 100.0 |

XII. Failure to Appear Activity

| A. Court Appearances | Misdemeanor | Felony | TOTAL | Percent |
|-----------------------------|-------------|--------|--------|---------|
| Scheduled court appearances | 22,361 | 6,437 | 28,798 | 100% |
| Appearances made | 21,718 | 6,276 | 27,994 | 97.2% |
| Appearances missed | 643 | 161 | 804 | 2.8% |

| B. Instrument issued for missed appearances (FTA) | Misdemeanor | Felony | TOTAL |
|---|-------------|------------|------------|
| ACI/REV/B | 69 | 67 | 136 |
| ACI/OC | 20 | 2 | 22 |
| ACI/BF | 437 | 37 | 474 |
| C87/AI | 13 | 9 | 22 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 539 | 115 | 654 |
| Less Reinstated | 20 | 7 | 27 |
| Less Jailed | 350 | 92 | 442 |
| Less Other | 29 | 1 | 30 |
| TOTAL FUGITIVES^a | 140 | 15 | 155 |

a. These figures represent the total number of failures to appear that remain fugitives as of January 31, 1994.

| C. Rearrest of defendants that failed to appear* | Number | Percent |
|--|------------|-------------|
| Pretrial Services Agency | 161 | 36.4% |
| HSCO - Pretrial Services Units | 105 | 23.8% |
| HCSO - Other Street Units | 23 | 5.2% |
| HCSO - Court Bailiff's Office | 55 | 12.4% |
| Houston Police Department | 68 | 15.4% |
| Other Law Enforcement | 30 | 6.8% |
| TOTAL | 442 | 100% |

* Agencies responsible for the arrest of defendants for failure to appear. The Harris County Sheriff's Office has assigned a detective to serve as liaison between the Pretrial Services Agency and the Criminal Warrants Division of the Sheriff's Department. The detective works with Agency staff to arrest defendants with outstanding warrants.

| | |
|------------------------------|-----|
| D. Total defendants resolved | 499 |
| Resolved by arrest | 442 |

XIII. Noncompliance Activity

| A. Bond Surrender | Misdemeanor | Felony | TOTAL |
|------------------------------------|-------------|-----------|------------|
| New offense | | | |
| - Misdemeanor | 6 | 3 | 9 |
| - Felony | 5 | 22 | 27 |
| Alias identification | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Noncompliance with bond conditions | 12 | 58 | 70 |
| Urinalysis | 3 | 8 | 11 |
| Other | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| TOTAL | 28 | 92 | 120 |

| B. Bond Revocation | Misdemeanor | Felony | TOTAL |
|------------------------------------|-------------|------------|------------|
| New offense | | | |
| - Misdemeanor | 6 | 6 | 12 |
| - Felony | 3 | 15 | 18 |
| Alias identification | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Noncompliance with bond conditions | 15 | 79 | 94 |
| Urinalysis | 2 | 11 | 13 |
| Other | 16 | 35 | 51 |
| TOTAL | 43 | 146 | 189 |

| C. Activity on defendants prior to release | Misdemeanor | Felony | TOTAL |
|--|-------------|----------|-----------|
| Bond surrender | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Bond revocation | 6 | 5 | 11 |
| TOTAL | 7 | 5 | 12 |

XIV. Urinalysis Screening Activity

| A. Drug/Alcohol testing | Personal Bond | SUBN/ CABD | Courtesy PT Div | Other | TOTAL | Percent |
|------------------------------|---------------|------------|-----------------|-------|-------|---------|
| Total number of specimens | 2,871 | 1,151 | 8 | 0 | 4,030 | 100% |
| Specimens confirmed positive | 636 | 260 | 0 | 0 | 896 | 22.2% |

| B. Confirmed positive results | Number | Percent |
|-------------------------------|------------|-------------|
| Cocaine | 399 | 44.5% |
| THC | 341 | 38.1% |
| THC/Cocaine | 83 | 9.3% |
| Methamphetamine | 4 | 0.4% |
| Amphetamine | 1 | 0.1% |
| Alcohol | 14 | 1.6% |
| Other Combinations | 54 | 6.0% |
| TOTAL | 896 | 100% |

XV. Community Resource Referrals

A. Number of defendants screened to determine Community Resource Assistance needs:

| | |
|---|--------------|
| | 8,352 |
| 1. Number indicating no assistance needs: | 3,236 |
| 2. Number indicating assistance needed: | 4,260 |
| 3. Number court ordered: | 856 |

B. Service area(s) where defendants requested assistance, or were ordered by the court to participate in a program, and where referrals were provided:

| Service Area | Court | % | Requests | % | Referrals | % |
|---------------------------|--------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|
| Utilities | 0 | 0.0% | 1,194 | 7.6% | 584 | 5.2% |
| Rent | 0 | 0.0% | 1,224 | 7.8% | 444 | 3.9% |
| Emergency Housing | 0 | 0.0% | 601 | 3.8% | 275 | 2.4% |
| Food | 0 | 0.0% | 1,200 | 7.6% | 653 | 5.8% |
| Transportation | 0 | 0.0% | 1,108 | 7.1% | 491 | 4.3% |
| Dental Care | 0 | 0.0% | 1,836 | 11.7% | 1,498 | 13.2% |
| Medical Care/Medication | 5 | 0.3% | 1,077 | 6.9% | 701 | 6.2% |
| Prenatal Care | 0 | 0.0% | 121 | 0.8% | 28 | 0.2% |
| Disability | 0 | 0.0% | 286 | 1.8% | 70 | 0.6% |
| Counseling | 363 | 21.2% | 1,007 | 6.4% | 1,034 | 9.1% |
| Substance / Alcohol Abuse | 622 | 36.4% | 443 | 2.8% | 985 | 8.7% |
| Employment | 344 | 20.1% | 2,241 | 14.3% | 1,859 | 16.4% |
| Vocational Training | 0 | 0.0% | 1,104 | 7.0% | 791 | 7.0% |
| Education | 375 | 21.9% | 1,667 | 10.6% | 1,454 | 12.8% |
| Childcare | 0 | 0.0% | 503 | 3.2% | 372 | 3.3% |
| Other | 0 | 0.0% | 77 | 0.5% | 88 | 0.8% |
| TOTAL | 1,709 | 100% | 15,689 | 100% | 11,327 | 100% |

1. Defendants may request assistance in multiple areas so the total number of requests is greater than the number of defendants.

| Requests | by | Defendants |
|----------|----|------------|
| 15,689 | | 4,260 |

2. In each referral category, the defendant could have received a list with multiple contacts; only the referral is counted, not the number of places referred.
Referrals are not necessarily made in the same month the referral was requested.

| Referrals | to | Defendants |
|-----------|----|------------|
| 26,805 | | 4,326 |

C. Service outcomes for the 8,238 defendants disposed in 1994

| | |
|--|--------------|
| 1. Number of disposed defendants initially screened by community resource: | <u>7,394</u> |
| 2. Number contacted for follow up | <u>2,414</u> |
| 3. Number that did not attempt to receive assistance | <u>885</u> |
| 4. Number that did attempt to receive assistance | <u>1,529</u> |
| 5. Number that are pending assistance | <u>784</u> |
| 6. Number that received the assistance sought | <u>840</u> |

D. Service area(s) where defendants received assistance, or are pending assistance

| Service Area | 1 | | 2 | |
|--|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|
| | Pending | % | Received | % |
| Assistance paying utility bills | 85 | 6.1% | 42 | 3.5% |
| Assistance paying rent | 63 | 4.5% | 23 | 1.9% |
| Received emergency shelter and/or government housing | 42 | 3.0% | 5 | 0.4% |
| Received emergency groceries or food stamps | 74 | 5.3% | 80 | 6.6% |
| Bus Schedules and/or towed car information | 7 | 0.5% | 111 | 9.2% |
| Received Dental Care | 118 | 8.5% | 31 | 2.6% |
| Received Medical Care/Medication | 56 | 4.0% | 28 | 2.3% |
| Received prenatal information and/or care | 1 | 0.1% | 6 | 0.5% |
| Received or applied for social security disability | 9 | 0.6% | 3 | 0.2% |
| Attended counseling | 58 | 4.2% | 278 | 23.0% |
| Enrolled in Substance Abuse Treatment Program | 51 | 3.7% | 439 | 36.3% |
| Received job or job opportunity information | 482 | 34.8% | 21 | 1.7% |
| Enrolled in vocational program | 101 | 7.3% | 11 | 0.9% |
| Enrolled in an educational program | 190 | 13.7% | 102 | 8.4% |
| Received childcare services | 44 | 3.2% | 6 | 0.5% |
| Received other services not listed above | 6 | 0.4% | 22 | 1.8% |
| TOTAL | 1,387 | 100% | 1,208 | 100% |


1. Defendants may be pending assistance in multiple areas so the total number of pending is greater than the number of defendants.

| | | |
|---------|----|------------|
| Pending | by | Defendants |
| 1,387 | | 784 |

2. Defendants may have received assistance in multiple areas so the total number of received is greater than the number of defendants.


| | | |
|----------|----|------------|
| Received | by | Defendants |
| 1,208 | | 840 |

1994 BOOKINGS




1994 INTERVIEWS


JANUARY 1994 - DECEMBER 1994




1994 RELEASES



1994 FELONY RELEASE BY CHARGE




1994 MISDEMEANOR RELEASE BY CHARGE



1994 URINALYSIS RESULTS

CONFIRMED POSITIVES



- Cocaine
- Methamphetamine
- THC/Cocaine
- Alcohol
- THC
- Amphetamine
- Other Combinations